arXiv:1602.06914v2 [math.AG] 9 Apr 2016

MODULI SPACES OF STABLE SHEAVES ON ENRIQUES SURFACES

KŌTA YOSHIOKA

Abstract. We shall study the existence condition of μ-stable sheaves on Enriques surfaces. We also give a different proof of the irreducibility of the moduli spaces of rank 2 stable sheaves.

0. Introduction

Let X be an Enriques surface defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on X are studied by various people. In particular, the non-emptiness of the moduli spaces is completely determined ([8], [14],[28]) and the irreducibility of the moduli spaces was proved if X is unnodal and the associated Mukai vector is primitive ([8], [14], [24]). In this note, we shall discuss the existence problem of μ-stable sheaves on Enriques surfaces. We also give a remark on the irreducibility of the moduli spaces.

For a coherent sheaf E on X or an element E of $K(X)$, let $v(E) := \mathrm{ch}(E)\sqrt{\mathrm{td}_X} \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be the Mukai vector of E. We introduce the Mukai pairing on $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ by $\langle x, y\rangle := -\int_X x^\vee \wedge y$, where for $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2) \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$, $x^\vee := (x_0, -x_1, x_2)$. Then

$$(v(K(X)), \langle\ ,\ \rangle) \tag{0.1}$$

is the Mukai lattice of X. For a Mukai vector v, we use the following two expressions

$$v = (r, \xi, a) = r + \xi + a\varrho_X,\ r \in \mathbb{Z}, \xi \in \mathrm{NS_f}(X), \frac{r}{2} + a \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\varrho_X \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the fundamental class of X and $\mathrm{NS_f}(X)$ the torsion free quotient of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$, that is, $\mathrm{NS_f}(X) = \mathrm{NS}(X)/\mathbb{Z}K_X$.

For the Mukai vector $v \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ of a torsion free sheaf, we assume that a polarization H is general with respect to v (see Definition 0.1). We would like to remark that the problem of constructing μ-stable locally free sheaves was studied by Kim in the rank 2 case, and by Nuer [14] in the rank 4 case.

For a Mukai vector v, $\mathcal{M}(v)$ denotes the moduli stack of coherent sheaves E with $v(E) = v$. Let H be an ample divisor on X. $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^s$) denotes the substack of $\mathcal{M}(v)$ consisting of (Gieseker) semi-stable sheaves (resp. stable sheaves). Let $\overline{M}_H(v)$ be the moduli scheme of S-equivalence classes of semi-stable sheaves and $M_H(v)$ the open subscheme consisting of stable sheaves. If $v = (r, \xi, a)$ with $r > 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu s}$) denotes the substack of $\mathcal{M}(v)$ consisting of μ-semi-stable sheaves (resp. μ-stable sheaves). As in [24], we also introduce $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^s$, $\overline{M}_H(v, L), M_H(v, L)$) as the locus of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^s$, $\overline{M}_H(v), M_H(v)$) consisting of E with $c_1(E) = L$ in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$, where $[L \mod K_X] = \xi$,

For a K3 surface, the existence condition of μ-stable sheaves was completely described in [23]. For an Enriques surface, we get a similar result.

Theorem 0.1 (Theorem 2.1)**.** *Let $v = (lr, l\xi, \frac{s}{2})$ be a Mukai vector such that $\gcd(r, \xi) = 1$ and $\langle v^2\rangle \geq 0$. Let H be a general polarization with respect to v. Then $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ contains a μ-stable sheaf if and only if*

(i) *There is no stable sheaf E such that $v(E) = (r, \xi, b)$ and $\langle v(E)^2\rangle = -1, -2$, and $\langle v^2\rangle \geq 0$ or*
(ii) *There is a stable sheaf E such that $v(E) = (r, \xi, b)$ and $\langle v(E)^2\rangle = -1$, and $\langle v^2\rangle \geq l^2$ or*
(iii) *There is a stable sheaf E such that $v(E) = (r, \xi, b)$ and $\langle v(E)^2\rangle = -2$, and $\langle v^2\rangle \geq 2l^2$.*

Moreover if $lr > 1$, then under the same condition, $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ contains a μ-stable locally free sheaf.

In the second part, we shall study the irreducibility of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$. The irreducibility of these moduli spaces on an arbitrary surfaces was proved by Gieseker and Li [4] and O'Grady [15] when the expected dimension d is larger than a constant $N(r)$ that depends only on the rank r. We can expect a better estimate for $N(r)$ in the Enriques case, as occurs for K3 and abelian surfaces, since an Enriques surface also has a numerically trivial canonical divisor. Let $v = (r, \xi, \frac{s}{2})$ be a primitive Mukai vector on an Enriques surface. If r is odd, then the irreducibility of $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ was proved in [24]. If $r = 2$, then the

Key words and phrases. Enriques surfaces, stable sheaves.
The author is supported by the Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (No. 26287007, 24224001), JSPS.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14D20.

irreducibility was investigated by Kim [8] and Nuer [14] if X is unnodal. Kim reduced the problem to the cases where $s = 1, 2$ and proved the irreducibility for $s = 1$. For the second case, Nuer reduced to the first case. Then by using Bridgeland stability, Nuer [14, Thm. 1.1] completed the proof of irreducibility for the even rank case. In this note, we shall give a different proof of the irreducibility for $r = 2$. Combining a deformation argument with results in [24] and [28], we get the following result.

Theorem 0.2. *Let $v = (r, \xi, \frac{s}{2})$ be a primitive Mukai vector on an Enriques surface X and L a divisor on X with $[L \mod K_X] = \xi$.*

1. *$\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ is connected for a general H.*
2. *If X is unnodal or $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 4$, then $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ is irreducible for a general H.*

The strategy of our proof is the same as our proof for the similar problem on K3 surfaces [24, Thm. 3.18]. Thus we reduce the problem to the moduli of stable 1-dimensiional sheaves by a relative Fourier-Mukai transform associated to an elliptic fibration. Then by a detailed estimate of the locus of stable sheaves whose supports are reducible or nonreduced, we show that the moduli space is birationally equivalent to an abelian fiber space over an open subset of a projective space. Unlike the case of K3 surfaces, we need to require the Mukai vector to be primitive. Indeed if the Mukai vector is of the form $v = m(r, \xi, \frac{s}{2})$ ($m, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\xi \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$, $2 \mid r - s$ and $2 \nmid r$), then we can not reduce to the rank 0 case. Moreover it is not so easy to study stable 1-dimensional sheaves on non-reduced curves. Hence we can only treat 1-dimensional sheaves on non-reduced curves of multiplicity 2, which is sufficient to treat the primitive case. We give partial generalizations in Remark 4.2 and Remark 4.3. In the course of the proof, we also show that [17, Assumption 2.16] holds for $v = (0, \xi, \frac{s}{2})$ such that ξ is primitive (Proposition 4.4). In particular $b_2(M_H(v, L)) = 11$ if X satisfies (1.2) and $v = (r, \xi, \frac{s}{2})$ is a primitive Mukai vector such that $2 \mid r$, $2 \nmid \xi$ and $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 4$ by [17, Thm. 5.1] and [28].

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Howard Nuer for answering my question on μ-stable locally free sheaves of rank 2 and valuable and many comments on the first version of this article.

Notation.
For an Enriques surface X, let $\varpi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be the covering K3 surface and $\iota : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}$ the covering involution. For a primitive Mukai vector $v = (r, c_1, \frac{s}{2})$, we set $\ell(v) := \gcd(r, c_1, s)$. Then $\ell(v) = 1, 2$.

0.1. **Stabilities and their moduli stacks.** Let X be a smooth projective surface and H an ample divisor on X.

Definition 0.1. (1) A torsion free sheaf E is μ-semi-stable (resp. μ-stable) if

$$\frac{(c_1(F), H)}{\operatorname{rk} F} \underset{(<)}{\leq} \frac{(c_1(E), H)}{\operatorname{rk} E} \tag{0.2}$$

for any subsheaf F of E with $0 < \operatorname{rk} F < \operatorname{rk} E$.

(2) A polarization H is general with respect to v, if for any μ-semi-stable sheaf E with $v(E) = v$ and any subsheaf F of E,

$$\frac{(c_1(F), H)}{\operatorname{rk} F} = \frac{(c_1(E), H)}{\operatorname{rk} E} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{c_1(F)}{\operatorname{rk} F} = \frac{c_1(E)}{\operatorname{rk} E}. \tag{0.3}$$

Definition 0.2. Let G be an element of $K(X)$ with $\operatorname{rk} G > 0$.

(1) A torsion free sheaf E is G-twisted semi-stable (resp. G-twisted stable), if

$$\frac{\chi(G, F(nH))}{\operatorname{rk} F} \underset{(<)}{\leq} \frac{\chi(G, E(nH))}{\operatorname{rk} E} \quad (n \gg 0) \tag{0.4}$$

for any subsheaf F of E with $0 < \operatorname{rk} F < \operatorname{rk} E$.

(2) A purely 1-dimensional sheaf E is G-twisted semi-stable (resp. G-twisted stable), if

$$\frac{\chi(G, F)}{(c_1(F), H)} \underset{(<)}{\leq} \frac{\chi(G, E)}{(c_1(E), H)} \tag{0.5}$$

for any proper subsheaf $F \neq 0$ of E.

(3) Since G-twisted semi-stability depends only on $v(G)$, we also define w-twisted semi-stability as a G-twisted semi-stability, where $v(G) = w$.

(4) $\mathcal{M}_H^G(v)^{ss}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_H^G(v)^{s}$) denotes the moduli stack of G-twisted semi-stable sheaves (resp. G-twisted stable sheaves).

Remark 0.1. (1) G-twisted semi-stability depends only on $c_1(G)/\operatorname{rk} G$.

(2) If H is general with respect to v, then G-twisted semi-stability is independent of the choice of G.

Definition 0.3. For a coherent sheaf E on X, $\operatorname{rk} E$ denotes the rank of E. For a purely 1-dimensional sheaf E on X, $\operatorname{Div}(E)$ denotes the effective divisor C such that E is an $\mathcal{O}_C$-module and $c_1(E) = C$. For a purely 1-dimensional sheaf E on a smooth projective surface X, $\operatorname{Div}(E)$ denotes the scheme-theoretic support of E. Let

$$0 \to V_{-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi} V_0 \to E \to 0$$

be a locally free resolution of E. Then the Cartier divisor $\det \varphi$ is $\operatorname{Div}(E)$.

Let us recall a quotient stack description of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ and its open substacks. For an ample divisor H' on X, let $Q(mH', v)$ be the open subscheme of the quot-scheme $\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_X(-mH')^{\oplus N}/X}$ consisting of points

$$\lambda : \mathcal{O}_X(-mH')^{\oplus N} \to E \tag{0.6}$$

such that

(i) $v(E) = v$,
(ii) λ induces an isomorphism $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus N}) \cong H^0(X, E(mH'))$,
(iii) $H^i(X, E(mH')) = 0$, $i > 0$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{Q(mH',v)\times X}(-mH')^{\oplus N} \to \mathcal{Q}_v$ be the universal quotient. We set $V_v := \mathcal{O}_X(-mH')^{\oplus N}$. For our purpose, the choice of mH' is not so important. Hence we simply denote $Q(mH', v)$ by $Q(v)$. Let $\mathcal{M}(v)$ be the moduli stack of coherent sheaves E with $v(E) = v$ and $q_v : Q(v) \to \mathcal{M}(v)$ be the natural map. We denote the pull-backs $q_v^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}), q_v^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}), \dots$ by $Q(v)^{\mu ss}, Q(v)^{ss}, \dots$ respectively. If we choose a suitable $Q(v)$, then $q_v : Q(v)^{\mu ss} \to \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ is surjective and $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ is a quotient stack of $Q(v)^{\mu ss}$ by the natural action of $GL(N)$:

$$\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss} \cong [Q(v)^{\mu ss}/GL(N)]\,. \tag{0.7}$$

From now on, we assume that $q_v : Q(v)^{\mu ss} \to \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ is surjective. We have

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss} = \dim Q(v)^{\mu ss} - \dim GL(N). \tag{0.8}$$

Remark 0.2. Since $PGL(N)$ acts freely on $Q_H(v)^s$, we have $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s = \dim M_H(v) - 1$.

Lemma 0.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$. Then* $\dim \mathcal{M} \geq \langle v^2 \rangle$.

Proof. We take a quotient (0.6). Then we see that $\operatorname{Ext}^2(\ker \lambda, E) = 0$. By the deformation for the quot-scheme, the Zariski tangent space of the quot-scheme at (0.6) is $\operatorname{Hom}(\ker \lambda, E)$ and the obstruction space is $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\ker \lambda, E) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^2(E, E)$. Hence the dimension of an irreducible component of $Q(v)^{\mu ss}$ containing the point (0.6) is at least of

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}(\ker \lambda, E) - \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(\ker \lambda, E) = N^2 - \chi(E, E) = \langle v^2 \rangle + \dim GL(N).$$

Hence we get the claim. □

The following formula is used frequently in this paper.

Lemma 0.4 ([9, Lem. 5.2])**.** *Let $\mathcal{F}(v_1, v_2)$ be the stack of filtrations $0 \subset E_1 \subset E$ such that E_1 is a coherent sheaf with $v(E_1) = v_1$ and $E_2 := E/E_1$ is a coherent sheaf with $v(E_2) = v_2$. We have a morphism $p_{v_1,v_2} : \mathcal{F}(v_1, v_2) \to \mathcal{M}(v_1) \times \mathcal{M}(v_2)$ by sending $E_1 \subset E$ to $(E_1, E/E_1)$. We set*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^n(v_1, v_2) :&= \{(E_1, E_2) \in \mathcal{M}(v_1) \times \mathcal{M}(v_2) | \dim \operatorname{Hom}(E_1, E_2(K_X)) = n\}, \\ \mathcal{F}^n(v_1, v_2) :&= p_{v_1,v_2}^{-1}(\mathcal{N}^n(v_1, v_2)) \\ &= \{(F_1 \subset E) \in \mathcal{F}(v_1, v_2) | \dim \operatorname{Hom}(F_1, (E/F_1)(K_X)) = n\}. \end{aligned} \tag{0.9}$$

Then

$$\dim \mathcal{F}^n(v_1, v_2) = \dim \mathcal{N}^n(v_1, v_2) + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle + n. \tag{0.10}$$

Proof. Since $\dim \operatorname{Ext}^2(E_2, E_1) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(E_1, E_2(K_X)) = n$, the same proof of [9, Lem. 5.2] works. □

1. The dimension of moduli stacks

In this section, we assume that X is an Enriques surface, and we shall estimate the dimension of various substacks of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)$. We also showed that $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}$ is a reduced stack if $\langle v^2 \rangle > 0$ or v is a primitive and isotropic Mukai vector.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $v = (r, \xi, \frac{s}{2})$ be a Mukai vector with $\langle v^2 \rangle > 0$. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^s$ is reduced and $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^s = \langle v^2 \rangle$.*
(2) *$\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^s$ is normal, unless*
  (i) *$v = 2v_0$ with $\langle v_0^2 \rangle = 1$ and $L \equiv \frac{r}{2} K_X \mod 2$ or*
  (ii) *$\langle v^2 \rangle = 2$.*

Proof. We set

$$\mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s_{sing} := \{E \in \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s \mid E \cong E(K_X)\}. \tag{1.1}$$

We set $v = (r, c_1, \frac{s}{2})$. If r is odd, then $\mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s_{sing} = \emptyset$. Hence we assume that r is even. By [7] (see also Remark 1.2) or [18], $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s_{sing}$ is odd and $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s_{sing} \leq \frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2} + 1$. Moreover if the equality holds, then $2 \mid c_1$ and $L \equiv \frac{r}{2}K_X \mod 2$, and if v is primitive, $\langle v^2\rangle \equiv 0 \mod 8$ (see Remark 1.1. In particular, we have

$$\langle v^2\rangle - \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s_{sing} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2} - 1, & \langle v^2\rangle \equiv 0 \mod 4, \\ \frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2}, & \langle v^2\rangle \equiv 2 \mod 4. \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s \setminus \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s_{sing}$ is smooth of dimension $\langle v^2\rangle$, by the proof of Lemma 0.3, we see that $\mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s$ is a locally complete intersection stack of $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s = \langle v^2\rangle$. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s$ is reduced.

(2) In order to prove the normality of $\mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s$, it is sufficient to prove $\langle v^2\rangle - \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s_{sing} \geq 2$. If $\langle v^2\rangle \geq 6$, then obviously $\langle v^2\rangle - \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s_{sing} \geq 2$. If $\langle v^2\rangle = 4$ and v does not satisfy (i), then $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s_{sing} < \frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2} + 1 = 3$, which implies $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s_{sing} \leq 1$. In particular, $\langle v^2\rangle - \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s_{sing} \geq 3$. Therefore (2) holds. □

Remark 1.1 (Nuer [14], Sacca [17]). Assume that

$$\varpi^*(\mathrm{Pic}(X)) = \mathrm{Pic}(\widetilde{X}), \tag{1.2}$$

thus ι acts on $\mathrm{Pic}(\widetilde{X})$ trivially.

Let $v := (r, \xi, \frac{s}{2})$ be a primitive Mukai vector. Then $\mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s$ is smooth of $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s = \langle v^2\rangle$, unless $\ell((r,\xi,\frac{s}{2})) = 2$ and $L \equiv \frac{r}{2}K_X \mod 2$.

Proof. By using (-1)-reflection (see Remark 1.2), we may assume that $\mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^s$ consists of μ-stable locally free sheaves. Assume that $E \cong E(K_X)$. Then r is even and there is a locally free sheaf F such that $\varpi_*(F) = E$. Then $\varpi^*(E) \cong F \oplus \iota^*(F)$. By our assumption on X, $\iota^*(c_1(F)) = c_1(F)$ and $c_1(F) = c_1(\varpi^*(L))$, where $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$. Hence $\det F = \varpi^*(L)$ and $\varpi^*(c_1(E)) = c_1(F) + \iota^*(c_1(F)) = 2\varpi^*(c_1(L))$. Then

$$c_1(E) = c_1(\varpi_*(F)) = c_1(\varpi_*(\det(F))) + \left(\frac{r}{2} - 1\right) K_X = 2c_1(L) + \frac{r}{2}K_X$$

by [28, Lem. 3.5]. Hence $c_1(E) \equiv \frac{r}{2}K_X \mod 2$. □

Remark 1.2. In Kim's paper [7], it is assumed that $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s_{sing}$ is locally free. We can reduce the general case to the case of μ-stable locally free sheaves by using (-1)-reflection (see [28, Rem. 2.19]).

Lemma 1.2. *Let v be a Mukai vector with $\langle v^2\rangle > 0$. We set*

$$\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{pss} := \{E \in \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss} \mid E \text{ is properly semi-stable }\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Assume that H is general with respect to v. Then

1. $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{pss} \leq \langle v^2\rangle - 1$. *Moreover* $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{pss} \leq \langle v^2\rangle - 2$ *unless* $v = 2v_0$ *with* $\langle v_0^2\rangle = 1$.
2. $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^s \neq \emptyset$ *and* $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss} = \langle v^2\rangle$.

Proof. We set $v = lv_0$ where v_0 is primitive and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We first note that the first claim of (1) implies (2) by Lemma 0.3, Lemma 1.1 and [14] (see also [28, Thm. 3.1]). The proof of (1) is almost the same as of [9, Lem. 3.2]. So I only remark that [9, Lem. 5.1] is replaced by Lemma 0.3 and [9, (3.4)] is replaced by

$$\dim J(v_1,v_2) \leq \langle v^2\rangle - (\langle v_1,v_2\rangle - \max\{l_2/l_1 - 1, 0\}),$$

where $J(v_1,v_2)$ is the substack whose member E fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \to E_1 \to E \to E_2 \to 0 \tag{1.4}$$

such that E_1 is a stable sheaf with $v_1 := l_1v_0$ and E_2 is a semi-stable sheaf with $v_2 := l_2v_0$. We first assume that $\langle v_0^2\rangle \geq 2$. Then

$$\langle v_1,v_2\rangle - \max\{l_2/l_1 - 1, 0\} = l_1l_2\langle v_0^2\rangle - \max\{l_2/l_1 - 1, 0\} \geq 2.$$

Hence $\dim J(v_1,v_2) \leq \langle v^2\rangle - 2$, so the second claim of (1) holds if $\langle v_0^2\rangle > 1$, and a fortiori the first claim. So we may assume that $\langle v_0^2\rangle = 1$. Then the first claim of (1) clearly holds from the dimension estimate on $J(v_1,v_2)$, so let us prove the second claim. We set

$$H_k := \{(E_1,E_2) \mid E_1 \in \mathcal{M}_H(v_1)^s, E_2 \in \mathcal{M}_H(v_2)^{ss}, \dim \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2(K_X)) = k\}.$$

For $(E_1, E_2) \in H_k$, $E_2/(E_1(K_X)^{\oplus k})$ is a semi-stable sheaf with the Mukai vector $v_2 - kv_1$ by [9, Lem. 3.1]. Hence E_1 is determined by E_2 as a factor of a Jordan-Hölder filtration of E_2. Moreover if $k \geq 2$, then E_2 is properly semi-stable. Therefore $\dim H_1 \leq \langle v_2^2 \rangle$ and $\dim H_k \leq \langle v_2^2 \rangle - 1$ for $k > 1$. If $k \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle + k + \dim H_k \leq & \langle v^2 \rangle - (\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle + \langle v_1^2 \rangle + 1 - k) \\ \leq & \langle v^2 \rangle - 2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

If $k = 1$ and $l_1 l_2 \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle + k + \dim H_k \leq & \langle v^2 \rangle - (\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle + \langle v_1^2 \rangle - 1) \\ \leq & \langle v^2 \rangle - 2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Therefore $\dim J(v_1, v_2) \leq \max_k(\dim H_k + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle + k) \leq \langle v^2 \rangle - 2$ if $l_1 l_2 \geq 2$. The remaining case is $v = 2v_0$, which is excluded. Therefore (1) holds. □

Corollary 1.3. *Let $v = (r, \xi, \frac{s}{2})$ be a Mukai vector with $\langle v^2 \rangle > 0$. Then for a general polarization H, we have the following.*

(1) *$\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ is reduced and $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss} = \langle v^2 \rangle$.*
(2) *$\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ is normal, unless*
  (i) *$v = 2v_0$ with $\langle v_0^2 \rangle = 1$ and $L \equiv \frac{r}{2} K_X \mod 2$ or*
  (ii) *$\langle v^2 \rangle = 2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2, (1) holds. Moreover (2) also holds unless $v = 2v_0$ with $\langle v_0^2 \rangle = 1$ or (ii) $\langle v^2 \rangle = 2$. Therefore we shall treat the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_H(2v_0, L)^{ss}$ with $\langle v_0^2 \rangle = 1$ and $L \not\equiv \frac{r}{2} K_X \mod 2$. By Lemma 1.1 (2), $\mathcal{M}_H(2v_0, L)^s$ is normal.

We shall prove that $\mathcal{M}_H(2v_0, L)^{ss}$ is smooth in a neighborhood of the boundary. Since $\langle v_0^2 \rangle = 1$, $\operatorname{rk} v_0$ is odd, which implies that $\frac{r}{2} K_X \equiv K_X \mod 2$. Since $2 \mid \xi$ in $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathrm{f}}(X)$, we have $L = 2D, 2D + K_X$ ($D \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$). Therefore $L = 2D$ by $L \not\equiv \frac{r}{2} K_X \equiv K_X \mod 2$. Assume that $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(2v_0, L)^{ss}$ is S-equivalent to $E_1 \oplus E_2$. By $\det E_1 = (\det E_2^{\vee})(L)$ and $\operatorname{rk} v_0$ is odd, $\operatorname{Hom}(E_i, E_j(K_X)) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. Thus $\operatorname{Ext}^2(E, E) = \operatorname{Hom}(E, E(K_X))^{\vee} = 0$, which implies that $\mathcal{M}_H(2v_0, L)^{ss}$ is smooth at E. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_H(2v_0, L)^{ss}$ is a normal stack. □

1.1. **Isotropic case.** Let $v = (r, \xi, \frac{s}{2})$ be a primitive and isotropic Mukai vector and take a general polarization H with respect to v.

Lemma 1.4. (1) *If $\ell(v) = 1$, then $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^s$ is a reduced stack of $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s = \langle v^2 \rangle = 0$.*
(2) *If $\ell(v) = 2$ and $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^s \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^s$ is smooth of $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s = 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $\ell(v) = 1$. Since $2 \nmid \xi$, by the proof of Lemma 1.1, we see that $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s_{sing} = -1$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^s$ is reduced and $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s = 0$.

If $\ell(v) = 2$ and $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^s \neq \emptyset$, then there is a 2 dimensional component of the moduli space $M_H(v)$, which is smooth. Then by using the Fourier-Mukai transform, we see that $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^s$ itself is smooth of $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s = 1$. □

We next study the non-primitive case. We assume that H is a general polarization with respect to lv. Then H is also a general polarization with respect to $l'v$ for $1 \leq l' \leq l$. For $E_0 \in \mathcal{M}_H(l_0 v)^s$, we set

$$\mathcal{J}(l, E_0) := \{E \in \mathcal{M}_H(lv)^{ss} \mid \ E \text{ is generated by } E_0(pK_X),\ p \in \mathbb{Z}\ \}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $l_0 \mid l$.

Remark 1.3. If $\ell(v) = 2$, then $E_0(K_X) \cong E_0$ for all $E_0 \in \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s$, and if $\ell(v) = 1$, then $E_0(K_X) \ncong E_0$ for a general $E_0 \in \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s$.

Lemma 1.5. $\dim \mathcal{J}(l, E_0) \leq -1$.

Proof. For $F \in \{E_0(pK_X) \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we set

$$\mathcal{J}(l, E_0, F^{\oplus n}) := \{E \in \mathcal{J}(l, E_0) \mid \dim \operatorname{Hom}(F, E) = n\}. \tag{1.8}$$

For $E \in \mathcal{J}(l, E_0, F^{\oplus n})$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(F, E) \otimes F \to E \to E' \to 0 \tag{1.9}$$

and $E' \in \mathcal{J}(l - nl_0, E_0, F(K_X)^{\oplus n'})$ ($n' \geq 0$). Since $\mathcal{J}(l, E_0, F^{\oplus n})$ is an open substack of the stack of extensions (1.9), Lemma 0.4 implies

$$\dim \mathcal{J}(l, E_0, F^{\oplus n}) \leq \dim \mathcal{J}(l - nl_0, E_0, F(K_X)^{\oplus n'}) + nn' - n^2. \tag{1.10}$$

Then the same proof of [9, (3.8)] works. □

Proposition 1.6. *Assume that X is an Enriques surface. Let v be an isotropic and primitive Mukai vector.*

(1) *Assume that* $\mathcal{M}_H(lv)^s$ *is non-empty. Then* $l = 1, 2$.
(2) $\mathcal{M}_H(2v, L)^s \neq \emptyset$ *if and only if* $\ell(v) = 1$ *and* $L \equiv 0 \mod 2$. *Moreover*

$$\mathcal{M}_H(2v)^s = \{\varpi_*(F) \mid F \in \mathcal{M}^w_{\varpi^*(H)}(w)^s,\ \iota^*(F) \ncong F\},$$

where $w = \varpi^*(v)$. *In particular,* $\mathcal{M}_H(lv)^s$ *is smooth of dimension 1.*
(3) $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(lv)^{ss} \leq l$. *If* $\ell(v) = 1$, *then* $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(lv)^{ss} \leq [\frac{l}{2}]$.

Proof. (1) Since H is general, $\mathcal{M}_H(lv)^s$ is the same as the moduli stack of v-twisted stable sheaves. Let w be a primitive and isotropic Mukai vector of $\widetilde{X}$ with $\varpi^*(v) = mw$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$. For $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(lv)^s$, $\varpi^*(E)$ is w-twisted semi-stable with respect to $\varpi^*(H)$. Indeed by the uniqueness of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $\varpi^*(E)$, it is ι-invariant. Since ϖ is étale, it comes from a filtration on X.

(a) Assume that $\varpi^*(E)$ is not w-twisted stable, and let F be a w-twisted stable proper subsheaf of $\varpi^*(E)$ with

$$\frac{\chi(\varpi^*(E), F(n\varpi^*(H)))}{\operatorname{rk} F} = \frac{\chi(\varpi^*(E), \varpi^*(E)(n\varpi^*(H)))}{\operatorname{rk} \varpi^*(E)},\ (n \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{1.11}$$

Then $\iota^*(F)$ is also a w-twisted stable subsheaf of $\varpi^*(E)$ with

$$\frac{\chi(\varpi^*(E), \iota^*(F)(n\varpi^*(H)))}{\operatorname{rk} \iota^*(F)} = \frac{\chi(\varpi^*(E), \varpi^*(E)(n\varpi^*(H)))}{\operatorname{rk} \varpi^*(E)},\ (n \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{1.12}$$

If $\iota^*(F) \cong F$, then there is a subsheaf E_1 of E such that $F \cong \varpi^*(E_1)$, which shows E is properly v-twisted semi-stable. Hence $\iota^*(F) \ncong F$. We note that $\phi : F \oplus \iota^*(F) \to \varpi^*(E)$ is injective. Indeed let G be a w-twisted stable subsheaf of $\ker \phi$ with

$$\frac{\chi(\varpi^*(E), G(n\varpi^*(H)))}{\operatorname{rk} G} = \frac{\chi(\varpi^*(E), \varpi^*(E)(n\varpi^*(H)))}{\operatorname{rk} \varpi^*(E)},\ (n \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{1.13}$$

Then $G \to F$ and $G \to \iota^*(F)$ are isomorphic or zero. Since F and $\iota^*(F)$ are subsheaves of $\varpi^*(E)$, we get $G \cong F$ and $G \cong \iota^*(F)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore ϕ is injective. By the v-twisted stability of E, ϕ is also surjective. Thus $F \oplus \iota^*(F) \cong \varpi^*(E)$. Then $\varpi_*(F)^{\oplus 2} \cong E \oplus E(K_X)$ implies $\varpi_*(F) \cong E \cong E(K_X)$. By [27, Lem. 2.3.6], $\operatorname{rk} F \leq \operatorname{rk} w$ and the equality holds if and only if $v(F) = w$. Hence $lm \operatorname{rk} w = \operatorname{rk} E \leq 2 \operatorname{rk} w$, which shows $lm \leq 2$. Moreover $lm = 2$ implies $v(F) = w$.

(b) If $\varpi^*(E)$ is w-twisted stable, then by [27, Lem. 2.3.6], we have $\operatorname{rk} E \leq \operatorname{rk} w$, which shows $l = m = 1$.

(2) In the proof of (1), for $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(2v)^s$, we have $\ell(v) = 1$ and $E = \varpi_*(F)$ with $\iota^*(v(F)) = v(F)$. In particular, $v(F) = w$ and $\mathcal{M}_H(2v)^s$ is smooth of dimension 1. By Remark 1.1 and $4 \mid \operatorname{rk} E$, we have $2 \mid c_1(E)$ in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$.

We note that a primitive and isotropic Mukai vector v with $\ell(v)$ corresponds to a primitive and isotropic Mukai vector w on $\widetilde{X}$ with $\iota^*(w) = w$ via ϖ^*. For such a vector w, we have $M^w_{\varpi^*(H)}(w)^s \neq \emptyset$ ([27, cf. Cor.1.3.3]), and the fixed point set of the ι^*-action on $M^w_{\varpi^*(H)}(w)^s$ is 1-dimensional by Lemma 1.4. For $F \in M^w_{\varpi^*(H)}(w)^s$ with $\iota^*(F) \neq F$, $\varpi_*(F)$ is a stable sheaf with respect to H. Therefore (2) holds.

(3) We have a decomposition

$$\overline{M}_H(lv) = \bigcup_{(n_1 l_1, \dots, n_t l_t) \in S_l} \prod_i S^{n_i} M_H(l_i v),$$

where

$$S_l := \left\{ (n_1 l_1, ..., n_t l_t) \,\middle|\, l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_t,\ n_1, ..., n_t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0},\ \sum_i n_i l_i = l \right\}.$$

We have a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{M}_H(lv)^{ss} \to \overline{M}_H(lv)$. Let x be a point of $\overline{M}_H(lv)$. Then there are stable sheaves $E_i \in \mathcal{M}_H(k_i v)^s$ such that $E_i \ncong E_j(pK_X)$ for $i \neq j$ and $x = \oplus_{i=1}^t \oplus_p E_i(pK_X)^{\oplus n_{ip}}$. Since

$$\operatorname{Hom}(E_i, E_j) = \operatorname{Ext}^2(E_i, E_j) = 0$$

for $i \neq j$ and $\chi(E_i, E_j) = 0$, for $E \in \phi^{-1}(x)$, there are $G_i \in \mathcal{J}(\sum_p n_{ip} k_i, E_i)$ and $E = \oplus_i G_i$. By Lemma 1.5 we see that $\dim \phi^{-1}(x) \leq -t$. We note that $\dim M_H(v(E_i))^s = 1, 2$ by (1), (2) and Lemma 1.4. We set

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 :=& \{i \mid \dim M_H(v(E_i)) = 1\}, \\ t_2 :=& \{i \mid \dim M_H(v(E_i)) = 2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

Then we have

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_H(lv)^{ss} \leq \max_{x \in \overline{M}_H(lv)} \{-t + t_1 + 2t_2\} = \max_{x \in \overline{M}_H(lv)} t_2 \leq l.$$

Moreover if $\ell(v) = 1$, then $\dim M_H(v(E_i)) = 2$ implies $v(E_i) = 2v$, which implies that $t_2 \leq l/2$. Hence the second claim also holds. □

Remark 1.4. Let $\pi : X \to C$ be an elliptic surface and mD be a tame multiple fiber. Let $v := (0, rD, d)$ be a primitive Mukai vector,i.e., $\gcd(r, d) = 1$. For a semi-stable sheaf E with $v(E) = lv$ and $\mathrm{Div}(E) = lrD$, we shall show in Lemma 3.4 that E is S-equivalent to $\oplus_i E_i$, where $E_i \in \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s$. Assume that $m \nmid r$. Then $E_i \otimes K_X \ncong E_i$, which implies $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s = 0$. Hence we see that $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(lv)^{ss} \leq [\frac{lm_0}{m}]$, where $m_0 = \gcd(r, m)$.

2. μ-STABILITY

In this section, we continue to assume that X is an Enriques surface, and we shall study the existence condition of μ-stable locally free sheaves. For a Mukai vector v of $\mathrm{rk}\, v > 0$, we have a decomposition $v = (lr, l\xi, \frac{s}{2})$, where $\gcd(r, \xi) = 1$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $lr - s \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. We devide into three cases:

A. There is no stable sheaf E such that $v(E) = (r, \xi, b)$ and $\langle v(E)^2 \rangle = -1, -2$.
B. There is a stable sheaf E such that $v(E) = (r, \xi, b)$ and $\langle v(E)^2 \rangle = -1$.
C. There is a stable sheaf E such that $v(E) = (r, \xi, b)$ and $\langle v(E)^2 \rangle = -2$.

Remark 2.1. r is odd for case B and r is even for case C.

By a case by case study, we shall prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $v = (lr, l\xi, \frac{s}{2})$ be a Mukai vector such that $\gcd(r, \xi) = 1$ and $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 0$. Let H be a general polarization with respect to v. Then for $L \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ with $[L \mod K_X] = l\xi$, $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ contains a μ-stable sheaf if and only if*

A. *There is no stable sheaf E such that $v(E) = (r, \xi, b)$ and $\langle v(E)^2 \rangle = -1, -2$ and, $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 0$ or*
B. *There is a stable sheaf E such that $v(E) = (r, \xi, b)$ and $\langle v(E)^2 \rangle = -1$, and $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq l^2$ or*
C. *There is a stable sheaf E such that $v(E) = (r, \xi, b)$ and $\langle v(E)^2 \rangle = -2$, and $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 2l^2$.*

Moreover if $lr > 1$, then under the same condition, $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ contains a μ-stable locally free sheaf.

Although the arguments in this section are similar to [23], we repeat the arguments since several estimates are slightly different. Throughout this section, H is a general polarization with respect to v.

2.1. **Case A.** In this subsection, we shall treat case A. Let $v := l(r + \xi) + a\varrho_X \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be a Mukai vector. We shall first estimate the dimension of various locally closed substacks of $\mathcal{M}(v)$.

Lemma 2.2. *If $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss} \neq \emptyset$, then $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 0$. If the equality holds, then $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss} = \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}$ and $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}$ is S-equivalent to $\oplus_i E_i$, where E_i are μ-stable locally free sheaves wth $v(E_i) \in \mathbb{Q}v$.*

Proof. Let E be a μ-semi-stable sheaf of $v(E) = v$ and choose a Jordan-Hölder filtration of E with respect to μ-stability whose factors are μ-stable sheaves E_i $(1 \leq i \leq s)$. We set

$$v(E_i) := l_i(r + \xi) + a_i\varrho_X, 1 \leq i \leq s. \tag{2.1}$$

By our assumption, $\langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle = l_i(l_i(\xi^2) - 2ra_i) \neq -1, -2$. Thus $\langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle \geq 0$ for all i. Since

$$\frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{l} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle}{l_i}, \tag{2.2}$$

we get $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 0$. Assume that $\langle v^2 \rangle = 0$. Then $\langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle = 0$ for all i. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle}{\mathrm{rk}(E_i)^2} &= (\xi^2) - 2\frac{a_i}{rl_i}, \\ \frac{\chi(E_i)}{\mathrm{rk}(E_i)} &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a_i}{rl_i}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

we see that $\chi(E_i)/\mathrm{rk}(E_i) = \chi(E)/\mathrm{rk}(E)$ for all i. Thus E is semi-stable. Since $E_i^{\vee\vee}$ are μ-stable locally free sheaves with $\langle v(E_i^{\vee\vee})^2 \rangle \geq 0$ and $0 = \langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle = \langle v(E_i^{\vee\vee})^2 \rangle + 2\,\mathrm{rk}\, E_i \chi(E_i^{\vee\vee}/E_i)$, we see that $\chi(E_i^{\vee\vee}/E_i) = 0$. Thus all E_i are locally free, which shows that E is also locally free. □

Corollary 2.3. *If $\langle v^2 \rangle = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ consists of locally free sheaves.*

Definition 2.1. Let $w = l_0(r + \xi) + a_0\varrho_X$ $(l_0 > 0)$ be the primitive Mukai vector such that $\langle w^2 \rangle = 0$. Since $a_0/l_0 = (\xi^2)/(2r)$, w is uniquely determined.

By Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.3, $\mathcal{M}_H(w)^{\mu ss}$ consists of μ-stable locally free sheaves. Since $l_0(\xi^2) - 2a_0 r = 0$, $l_0 r$ is even. In particular, $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.4. *For a Mukai vector $u = (lr, l\xi, a)$, $r \mid \langle u, w \rangle$.*

Proof. We note that $l_0 r$ is even and $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. If r is even, then $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. If r is odd, then l_0 is even. Hence $l_0 a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\langle u, w \rangle = (la_0 - l_0 a)r$ is divisible by r. □

Lemma 2.5. (1)

$$\dim(\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss} \setminus \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}) \leq \langle v^2 \rangle - 1. \tag{2.4}$$

(2) *Assume that* $\langle v^2 \rangle > 0$. *Then*

$$\dim(\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss} \setminus \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{s}) \leq \langle v^2 \rangle - 1. \tag{2.5}$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss} \neq \emptyset$, *then* $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^s \neq \emptyset$ *and* $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss} = \langle v^2 \rangle$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, it is sufficient to prove (1). Let F be a μ-semi-stable sheaf of $v(F) = v$. We assume that F is not semi-stable. Let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = F \tag{2.6}$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of F. We set

$$v_i := v(F_i/F_{i-1}) = l_i(r + \xi) + a_i \varrho_X, 1 \leq i \leq s. \tag{2.7}$$

Since $\chi(F_i/F_{i-1})/\operatorname{rk}(F_i/F_{i-1}) > \chi(F_{i+1}/F_i)/\operatorname{rk}(F_{i+1}/F_i)$, we get that

$$\frac{a_0}{l_0} \geq \frac{a_1}{l_1} > \frac{a_2}{l_2} > \cdots > \frac{a_s}{l_s}, \tag{2.8}$$

where the leftmost inequality is a consequence of $\langle w^2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle v_i^2 \rangle \geq 0$ and (2.3). Let $\mathcal{F}^{HN}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s)$ be the substack of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ whose element E has the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of the above type. We shall prove that $\dim \mathcal{F}^{HN}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s) \leq \langle v^2 \rangle - 1$. Since

$$\operatorname{Hom}(F_i/F_{i-1}, F_j/F_{j-1}(K_X)) = 0$$

for $i < j$, [9, Lem. 5.3] implies that

$$\dim \mathcal{F}^{HN}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss} + \sum_{i<j} \langle v_j, v_i \rangle. \tag{2.9}$$

For $i < j$, by using Lemma 2.2 and (2.8), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle &= l_i l_j (\xi^2) - (l_i a_j + l_j a_i) r \\ &= l_i l_j (\xi^2) - 2 l_j a_i r + (a_i l_j - a_j l_i) r \\ &= l_j (l_i (\xi^2) - 2 a_i r) + (a_i l_j - a_j l_i) r \\ &\geq (a_i l_j - a_j l_i) r \geq r/2 \geq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where the inequality $r \geq 2$ comes from our assumption. Hence if $\langle v_i^2 \rangle > 0$ for all i, then, by using Lemma 1.2, we see that

$$\dim \mathcal{F}^{HN}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s) = \langle v^2 \rangle - \sum_{i<j} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \leq \langle v^2 \rangle - 1. \tag{2.11}$$

Assume that $\langle v_i^2 \rangle = 0$, i.e. $v_i = l_i' w$, $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $i = 1$ and $r \mid \langle v_j, w \rangle$ by Lemma 2.4. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_1, v_j \rangle - l_1' &= l_1' (\langle w, v_j \rangle - 1) \\ &\geq l_1' (r - 1) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

In this case, by using Proposition 1.6, we see that

$$\dim \mathcal{F}^{HN}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s) \leq \langle v^2 \rangle - \left(\sum_{i<j} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle - l_1' \right) \leq \langle v^2 \rangle - 1. \tag{2.13}$$

Hence we get our lemma. □

Proposition 2.6. *Assume that* $\langle v^2 \rangle > 0$. *Then for a general* H,

$$\dim(\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{s} \setminus \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu s}) < \langle v^2 \rangle. \tag{2.14}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu s} \neq \emptyset$. *Moreover there is a* μ*-stable locally free sheaf in each connected component.*

Proof. Let E be a stable sheaf with $v(E) = v$ and E_1 be a μ-stable subsheaf of E such that E/E_1 is torsion free. We set

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &:= v(E_1) = (l_1 r, l_1 \xi, a_1), \\ v_2 &:= v(E/E_1) = (l_2 r, l_2 \xi, a_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Since $\chi(E_1)/\operatorname{rk} E_1 < \chi(E)/\operatorname{rk} E$, we get $\langle v(E_1)^2 \rangle > 0$ and

$$\frac{a_1}{l_1} < \frac{a_2}{l_2}. \tag{2.16}$$

Let $J(v_1, v_2)$ be the substack of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^s$ consisting of E which has a subsheaf $E_1 \subset E$. By using Lemma 0.4, we shall estimate $\dim J(v_1, v_2)$. By [9, Lem. 3.1], $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, (E/E_1)(K_X)) \leq l_2/l_1$. We shall bound the dimension of the substack

(2.17)
$$\mathcal{N}_n(v_1, v_2) := \{(E_1, E_2) \in \mathcal{M}_H(v_1)^{\mu s} \times \mathcal{M}_H(v_2)^{\mu ss} \mid \dim(E_1^{\vee\vee}/E_1) = n,\ \dim \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2(K_X)) \neq 0\}.$$

For a fixed $E_2 \in \mathcal{M}_H(v_2)^{\mu ss}$,

$$\#\{E_1^{\vee\vee} | E_1 \in \mathcal{M}_H(v_1)^{\mu s}, \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2(K_X)) \neq 0\} < \infty. \tag{2.18}$$

Indeed the double dual of the graded object associated to the Jordan Hölder filtration with respect to μ-stability is well defined and $E_1^{\vee\vee}$ must be one of these stable factors. For a locally free sheaf, let $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{F/X}$ be the quot-scheme parametrizing all quotients $F \to A$ such that A is a 0-dimensional sheaf of $\chi(A) = n$. In [19, Thm. 0.4 and sect. 5], we computed the number of rational points of $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{F/X}$ over finite fields, which implies that

$$\dim \mathrm{Quot}^n_{F/X} = (\mathrm{rk}\, F + 1)n. \tag{2.19}$$

Since $E_1 \in \mathcal{M}_H(v_1)^{\mu s}$ is simple, we see that

$$\dim\{E_1 \in \mathcal{M}_H(v_1)^{\mu s} | \dim(E_1^{\vee\vee}/E_1) = n, \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2(K_X)) \neq 0\} \leq (\mathrm{rk}\, v_1 + 1)n - 1. \tag{2.20}$$

Since $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_1)^{ss} = \langle v_1^2 \rangle \geq 2l_1 rn$ and $r \geq 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{N}_n(v_1, v_2) &\leq \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_1)^{\mu s} + \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_2)^{\mu ss} - ((l_1 r - 1)n + 1) \\ &\leq \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_1)^{\mu s} + \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_2)^{\mu ss} - 2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

if $n > 0$. If $n = 0$, then the same inequality also holds, since $\langle v_1^2 \rangle > 0 = 2l_1 rn$. Moreover, if $\langle v_2^2 \rangle = 0$, then Lemma 2.2 implies $\mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2) \neq 0$ implies $v(E_1^{\vee\vee}) \in \mathbb{Q}v_2$, which shows $l_1 = l_0$. Therefore $\mathcal{N}_n(v_1, v_2) = \emptyset$ unless $l_1 = l_0$.

If $\langle v_2^2 \rangle > 0$, then Lemma 2.5 implies that $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_2)^{\mu ss} = \langle v_2^2 \rangle$. Hence Lemma 0.4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s - \dim J(v_1, v_2) &= \min\left(\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle - \frac{l_2}{l_1} + 2, \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle\right) \\ &= l_1 \frac{\langle v_2^2 \rangle}{2l_2} + l_2 \frac{\langle v_1^2 \rangle}{2l_1} - \max\left(\frac{l_2}{l_1} - 2, 0\right) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

We next treat the case where $\langle v_2^2 \rangle = 0$. Then $v_2 = l_2' w, l_2' \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 1.6, $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_2)^{\mu ss} \leq \langle v_2^2 \rangle + l_2'$. If $l_1 = l_0$, then $l_2/l_1 = l_2'$. In this case, by using (2.21) and Lemma 2.4, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s - \dim J(v_1, v_2) &\geq \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle - l_2/l_1 - l_2' + 2 \\ &\geq l_2'(\langle v_1, w \rangle - 2) + 2 > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

If $l_1 \neq l_0$, then since $\mathcal{N}_n(v_1, v_2) = \emptyset$, we see that

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^s - \dim J(v_1, v_2) = l_2'(\langle v_1, w \rangle - 1) > 0 \tag{2.24}$$

by Lemma 2.4.

We shall prove that there is a μ-stable locally free sheaf. Let $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{nlf}$ be the closed substack of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu s}$ consisting of non-locally free sheaves. By (2.19), we have

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{nlf} \leq \max_{b>0}(\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v + b\varrho_X)^{\mu s} + (rl + 1)b) \leq \langle v^2 \rangle + \delta - (rl - 1), \tag{2.25}$$

where

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \frac{l}{l_0}, & v + b\varrho_X = \frac{l}{l_0} w, \ell(w) = 2, \\ [\frac{l}{2l_0}], & v + b\varrho_X = \frac{l}{l_0} w, \ell(w) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.26}$$

If $\ell(w) = 1$, then

$$(rl - 1) - \delta \geq \tfrac{l}{l_0}(rl_0 - 1/2) - 1 > 0,$$

since rl_0 is even. If $\ell(w) = 2$, then

$$(rl - 1) - \delta \geq \tfrac{l}{l_0}(rl_0 - 1) - 1 > 0,$$

unless $rl_0 = 2$, $l = l_0$. In this case, we see that $r = 1$ and $w = 2e^{\xi}$. Since $u := (1, \xi, \frac{(\xi^2)+1}{2})$ satisfies $\langle u^2 \rangle = -1$, this case does not occur. Therefore $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{nlf} < \langle v^2 \rangle$ and the last claim holds.

□

2.2. **Case B.** Assume that $r \mid (\xi^2)+1$. Then $v_0 := (r, \xi, a_0)$ is a primitive Mukai vector with $\langle v_0^2\rangle = -1$, where $a_0 := \frac{(\xi^2)+1}{2r} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. We take a general polarization H with respect to v_0. Let F be the μ-stable locally free sheaf with $v(F) = v_0$.

Lemma 2.7. *If there is a μ-stable sheaf E with $v(E) = lv_0 + b\varrho_X$, then $\langle v(E)^2\rangle \geq l^2$ or $E \cong F, F(K_X)$.*

Proof. If $\operatorname{rk} E = r$, then $l = 1$ and $b \leq 0$, which implies the claim. Assume that $\operatorname{rk} E > r$. By the μ-stability of E, F, $\operatorname{Hom}(F, E) = \operatorname{Hom}(E, F(K_X)) = 0$. Hence $0 \geq \chi(F, E) = -\langle v_0, v(E)\rangle = l + br$. Since $\langle v(E)^2\rangle = l(-l - 2br)$, we get the claim. □

Remark 2.2. Since $l = \gcd(\operatorname{rk} E, c_1(E)) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $v(E), lv_0 \in v(K(X))$, which implies $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.8 (cf. [22, Lem. 4.4])**.** *Let v be an arbitrary Mukai vector of $\operatorname{rk} v > 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ be the moduli stack of μ-semi-stable sheaves E of $v(E) = v$, and $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{p\mu ss}$ the closed substack of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ consisting of properly μ-semi-stable sheaves. We assume that $\langle v^2\rangle \geq l^2$. Then*

(1)

$$\langle v^2\rangle - \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{p\mu ss} \geq \langle v^2\rangle/2l - l/2 + 1 \tag{2.27}$$

unless $r = 1$ and $l = 2$.

(2) *If $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ is not empty, then there is a μ-stable locally free sheaf E of $v(E) = v$ in each connected component, unless $v = (2, 0, -1)e^{\xi}$.*

(3) *If $v = (2, 0, -1)e^{\xi}$, then there is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ containing μ-stable locally free sheaves.*

Proof. By Lemma 0.3, we get that

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss} \geq \langle v^2\rangle. \tag{2.28}$$

We shall show that

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{p\mu ss} \leq \langle v^2\rangle - (\langle v^2\rangle/2l - l/2 + 1). \tag{2.29}$$

For this purpose, we shall estimate the moduli number of Jordan-Hölder filtrations. Let E be a μ-semi-stable sheaf of $v(E) = v$ and let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_t = E \tag{2.30}$$

be a Jordan-Hölder filtration of E with respect to μ-stability. We set $E_i := F_i/F_{i-1}$. We also set

$$v(E) := lv_0 + a\varrho_X, v(E_i) := l_i v_0 + a_i \varrho_X.$$

By Lemma 2.7, $\langle v_i^2\rangle \neq 0$. Hence $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{\mu s} = \langle v_i^2\rangle$. Let $J(v_1, v_2, ..., v_t)$ be the substack of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ such that $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ has a filtration (2.30). By using Lemma 0.4 successively, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim J(v_1, v_2, ..., v_t) \leq & \sum_i \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{\mu s} + \sum_{i<j} (\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(E_j, E_i) - \dim \operatorname{Hom}(E_j, E_i)) \\ = & -\chi(E, E) + \sum_{i>j} \chi(E_j, E_i) + \sum_{i<j} \dim \operatorname{Ext}^2(E_j, E_i). \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Since $\langle v(E_i), v(E_j)\rangle = -l_i l_j - r(l_i a_j + l_j a_i)$, we see that

$$\sum_{i>j} \chi(E_j, E_i) = -\sum_{i>j} \langle v(E_j), v(E_i)\rangle = -\sum_i \frac{(l - l_i)\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle}{2l_i}. \tag{2.32}$$

We set $\max_i\{l_i\} = (l - k)$. If $\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle = -1$ for all i, then $v(E_i) = v_0$ for all i. Hence there is an integer i_0 such that $\langle v(E_{i_0})^2\rangle \geq 0$. Since $(l - k) + (t - 1) \leq \sum_i l_i = l$, we obtain that $t \leq k + 1$. Since $l - l_i - k \geq 0$ and $\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle \geq -1$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i>j} \langle v(E_j), v(E_i)\rangle &= k \sum_i \frac{\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle}{2l_i} + \sum_i \frac{(l - l_i - k)\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle}{2l_i} \\ &= k\frac{\langle v(E)^2\rangle}{2l} + \sum_i \frac{(l - l_i - k)\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle}{2l_i} \\ &\geq k\frac{\langle v(E)^2\rangle}{2l} - \sum_{i \neq i_0} \frac{(l - l_i - k)}{2} \\ &\geq k\frac{\langle v(E)^2\rangle}{2l} - \frac{(l - 1 - k)k}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\operatorname{Ext}^2(E_j, E_i) = 0$ for some $i < j$. Then we get that

$$\sum_{i<j} \dim \operatorname{Ext}^2(E_j, E_i) \le \frac{(k+1)k}{2} - 1.$$

Then the moduli number of these filtrations is bounded by

$$\langle v^2\rangle - k\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2l} + \frac{(l-1-k)k}{2} + \frac{(k+1)k}{2} - 1 \le \langle v^2\rangle - 1 - k\left(\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2l} - \frac{l}{2}\right). \tag{2.33}$$

Therefore we get a desired estimate for this case.

Assume that $\operatorname{Ext}^2(E_j, E_i) \cong \mathbb{C}$ for all $i < j$. Then $E_i^{\vee\vee} \cong E_j^{\vee\vee}(K_X)$. In particular, $l_i = l_j$ for all $i < j$. Suppose first that $l_i \ge 2$ for all i, then $l_i = l - k$ implies $k \le l - 2$ and

$$\sum_{i>j} \langle v_i, v_j\rangle = k\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2l}.$$

Since $4 \le l_1 + l_2 = 2(l-k) \le \sum_i l_i = l$, we have $2 \le l/2 \le k$. Hence the dimension of these filtrations is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v^2\rangle - k\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2l} + \frac{(k+1)k}{2} &\le \langle v^2\rangle - k\left(\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2l} - \frac{l-1}{2}\right) \\ &\le \langle v^2\rangle - \left(\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2l} - \frac{l}{2} + 1\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

If instead $l_i = 1$ for all i, then we must have $t = 2$, and hence $l = 2$. Indeed, if we have $h < i < j$, then $E_h^{\vee\vee} \cong E_i^{\vee\vee}(K_X)$, $E_h^{\vee\vee} \cong E_j^{\vee\vee}(K_X)$ and $E_i^{\vee\vee} \cong E_j^{\vee\vee}(K_X)$, from which it follows that $E_i^{\vee\vee} \cong E_i^{\vee\vee}(K_X)$. As $\operatorname{rk} E_i$ is odd, this is impossible, so $t = 2$ as claimed, and $l = 2$ follows from this and $l_i = 1$.

Assume that $r > 1$. Then a general member $E_1 \in \mathcal{M}_H(v_1)^{\mu s}$ is locally free by a similar estimate to (2.25). If there is a non-zero homomorphism $\phi : E_1 \to E_2(K_X)$, then ϕ is injective and $\operatorname{coker}\phi$ is of 0-dimensional by the μ-stability of E_1 and E_2. Since E_1 is locally free, $E_1 \cong E_2(K_X)$. In particular $v_1 = v_2$ and $v = 2v_1$. Since $\langle v^2\rangle \ge l^2$, $\langle v_1^2\rangle = \langle v_2^2\rangle > 0$. Then for a general locally free sheaf E_1, we have $\operatorname{Hom}(E_1, E_2(K_X)) = 0$. Therefore for a general filtration, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^2(E_2, E_1) = 0$, which shows the same estimate of (2.33) holds. Therefore (1) holds.

(2) The existence of a locally free sheaf follows from Lemma 2.7 and the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 2.6 unless $r = 1$ and $l = 2$. So we assume that $r = 1$ and $l = 2$. This case is treated by Kim [8]. For completeness, we give a different argument. If E_2 is not locally free or $\det E_1 = \det E_2$, then $\operatorname{Ext}^2(E_2, E_1) = 0$ for a general filtration, and hence the same estimate of (2.33) holds. On the other hand, if E_2 is locally free and $E_1 = I_Z \otimes E_2(K_X)$ (which implies E is not locally free), then we only have the estimate

$$\langle v^2\rangle - k\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2l} + \frac{(l-1-k)k}{2} + \frac{(k+1)k}{2} \le \langle v^2\rangle - \left(\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2l} - \frac{l}{2}\right). \tag{2.35}$$

In this case, if $\langle v^2\rangle > 4$, then there is a μ-stable locally free sheaf.

(3) We set $v := (2, 0, -1)$. $\mathcal{M}_H(v, 0)^{ss}$ contains a μ-stable locally free sheaf by the proof of (2). Indeed we have $\det E_1 = \det E_2$, which shows (2.33). We next treat $\mathcal{M}_H(v, K_X)^{ss}$. By the proof of (2), it is sufficient to construct a μ-semi-stable locally free sheaf E of $v(E) = v$ and $\det E = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$. Indeed, for an irreducible component containing a locally free sheaf, E_1 is a locally free sheaf and there is an ideal sheaf of two points with $E_2 = E_1(K_X) \otimes I_{Z'}$, which shows (2.33).

For the ideal sheaf I_Z of two points, we have $\operatorname{Hom}(I_Z(K_X), \mathcal{O}_X) = \operatorname{Ext}^2(I_Z(K_X), \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Hence $\operatorname{Ext}^1(I_Z(K_X), \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{C}$. We take a non-trivial extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to I_Z(K_X) \to 0. \tag{2.36}$$

Since $\operatorname{Ext}^1(I_W(K_X), \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $c_2(I_W) = 0, 1$, if E is not locally free, then $E^{\vee\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$, which shows that the exact sequence (2.36) splits. Therefore E is locally free.

□

Remark 2.3. For surjective homomorphisms $\phi_1 : \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathbb{C}_x \oplus \mathbb{C}_y$ and $\phi_2 : \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \to \mathbb{C}_x \oplus \mathbb{C}_y$, the kernel E of

$$\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \xrightarrow{(\phi_1, \phi_2)} \mathbb{C}_x \oplus \mathbb{C}_y$$

is a stable non-locally free sheaf. Then they form an irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}_H(v, K_X)^{ss}$ consisting of non-locally free sheaves. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_H(v, K_X)^{ss}$ has at least two irreducible components. Combining [24, Rem. 4.1], $\mathcal{M}_H(2v_0, L)^{ss}$ are reducible if $\langle v_0^2\rangle = 1$.

By the reflection associated to v_0 (cf. [24]), we get the following result.

Proposition 2.9. *Assume that* $2br - l > 0$, *i.e.,* $\langle (lv_0 - b\varrho_X)^2 \rangle = l(2br - l) > 0$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_H(lv_0 - b\varrho_X)^{ss} \cong \mathcal{M}_H((2br - l)v_0^\vee - b\varrho_X)^{ss}.$$

2.3. **Case C.** Assume that there is a stable sheaf E_0 such that $v(E_0) = (r, \xi, a_0)$ and $\langle v(E_0)^2 \rangle = -2$. Thus we assume that r is even, $r \mid (\xi^2)/2 + 1$ and $\xi \equiv D + \frac{r}{2}K_X \mod 2$, where D is a nodal cycle. We set $v_0 = (r, \xi, a_0)$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we have the following.

Lemma 2.10. *If* $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu s} \neq \emptyset$, *then* $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 2l^2$ *or* $v = v_0$.

Proposition 2.11. *Assume that* $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 2l^2$. *Then* $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu s} \neq \emptyset$. *Moreover each connected component contains a locally free sheaf.*

Proof. By Lemma 0.3, we get that

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss} \geq \langle v^2 \rangle. \tag{2.37}$$

We shall show that

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{p\mu ss} \leq \langle v^2 \rangle - (\langle v^2 \rangle / 2l - l + 1). \tag{2.38}$$

For this purpose, we shall estimate the moduli number of Jordan-Hölder filtrations. Let E be a μ-semi-stable sheaf of $v(E) = v$ and let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_t = E \tag{2.39}$$

be a Jordan-Hölder filtration of E with respect to μ-stability. We set $E_i := F_i/F_{i-1}$. We also set

$$v(E) := lv_0 + a\varrho_X, v(E_i) := l_i v_0 + a_i \varrho_X.$$

By Lemma 2.10, $\langle v_i^2 \rangle \neq 0$. Hence

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{\mu s} = \begin{cases} \langle v_i^2 \rangle & \text{if } v_i \neq v_0 \\ \langle v_i^2 \rangle + 1 = -1 & \text{if } v_i = v_0. \end{cases} \tag{2.40}$$

Since $\langle v^2 \rangle > 0$, there is an integer i_0 such that $v_i \neq v_0$. Let $J(v_1, v_2, ..., v_t)$ be the substack of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ such that $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ has a filtration (2.39). By using [9, Lem. 5.2] successively, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim J(v_1, v_2, ..., v_t) \leq & \sum_i \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{\mu s} + \sum_{i<j} (\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(E_j, E_i) - \dim \operatorname{Hom}(E_j, E_i)) \\ \leq & -\chi(E, E) + \sum_{i>j} \chi(E_j, E_i) + \sum_{i<j} \dim \operatorname{Ext}^2(E_j, E_i) + (t-1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

By the same computation of [22, Lem. 4.4], we get the desired estimate. Hence the existence of a locally free sheaf follows by Lemma 2.10 and the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 2.6. □

By the (-2)-reflection associated to v_0, we also get the following.

Proposition 2.12. *Assume that* $br - l > 0$, *i.e.,* $\langle (lv_0 - b\varrho_X)^2 \rangle = 2l(br - l) > 0$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_H(lv_0 - b\varrho_X)^{ss} \cong \mathcal{M}_H((br - l)v_0^\vee - b\varrho_X)^{ss}.$$

3. Moduli spaces on elliptic surfaces

3.1. **Some estimates on substacks.** In this section, we shall study moduli spaces of semi-stable sheaves on elliptic surfaces. Then we shall apply the results to the moduli spaces on Enriques surfaces, since Enriques surfaces have elliptic fibrations.

Let $\pi : X \to C$ be an elliptic surface such that every fiber is irreducible. Let f be a fiber of π. We have a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \tau : & K(X) & \to & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathrm{NS}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \\ & E & \mapsto & (\operatorname{rk} E, c_1(E), \chi(E)). \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

We set $K(X)_{\mathrm{top}} := K(X)/\ker \tau$. For $\mathbf{e} \in K(X)_{\mathrm{top}}$, let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{e})$ be the moduli stack of coherent sheaves E whose topological invariants are $\mathbf{e}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_H(\mathbf{e})^{ss}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_H(\mathbf{e})^{s}$) be the substack of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{e})$ consisting of semi-stable sheaves (resp. stable sheaves). Let E be a torsion free sheaf on X. $\mathbf{e} \in K(X)$ denotes the class of E in $K(X)$. Let H be an ample divisor on X and set $H_f := H + nf$, where n is a sufficiently large integer depending on $\mathbf{e}$. Let D be a curve on X such that $(D, f) = 0$. For a coherent sheaf F on D, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(F) :=& \chi(F) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_D) = \chi(F), \\ \deg_E(F) :=& \deg(E^\vee \otimes F) = \operatorname{rk}(E) \deg F - (c_1(E), c_1(F)) = \chi(E, F). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Definition 3.1. A torsion free sheaf E is f-semi-stable if for all subsheaf $F \neq 0$ of E,

$$\frac{(c_1(F), f)}{\operatorname{rk} F} \leq \frac{(c_1(E), f)}{\operatorname{rk} E}.$$

If the inequality is strict for all subsheaf F of E with $0 < \operatorname{rk} F < \operatorname{rk} E$, then E is f-stable. f-semi-stability of E is equivalent to the semi-stability of the restriction $E \otimes k(\eta)$ of E to the generic fiber. Let $\mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{e})^{ss}$ be the stack of f-semi-stable sheaves E with $\tau(E) = \mathbf{e}$.

Remark 3.1. $\mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{e})^{ss}$ is not bounded in general. For a positive number B, let $\mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{e})^{ss}_B$ be the open substack of $\mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{e})^{ss}$ consisting of E such that for any subsheaf F of E,

$$\frac{(c_1(F), H)}{\operatorname{rk} F} \leq \frac{(c_1(E), H)}{\operatorname{rk} E} + B.$$

Then $\mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{e})^{ss}_B$ is bounded ([11], [12]) and $\mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{e})^{ss} = \cup_B \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{e})^{ss}_B$.

Definition 3.2. For a coherent sheaf E, we set

$$\Delta(E) := 2 \operatorname{rk} E c_2(E) - (\operatorname{rk} E - 1)(c_1(E)^2).$$

Lemma 3.1 (Bogomolov inequality)**.** *If $\mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{e})^{ss} \neq \emptyset$, then $\Delta(\mathbf{e}) \geq 0$.*

Proof. If E is a f-stable sheaf E, then it is H_f-stable, and hence $\Delta(E) \geq 0$ by the Bogomolov inequality.

We next treat the general case. We note that $E \in \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{e})^{ss}$ is a succesive extension of f-stable sheaves E_i with $(c_1(E_i), f)/\operatorname{rk} E_i = (c_1(E), f)/\operatorname{rk} E$. For an extension

$$0 \to E_1 \to E \to E_2 \to 0$$

of $E_i \in \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{e}_i)^{ss}$ with $(c_1(E_1), f)/\operatorname{rk} E_1 = (c_1(E_2), f)/\operatorname{rk} E_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E) &= \operatorname{rk} E \frac{\Delta(E_1)}{\operatorname{rk} E_1} + \operatorname{rk} E \frac{\Delta(E_2)}{\operatorname{rk} E_2} - \frac{((\operatorname{rk} E_1 c_1(E_2) - \operatorname{rk} E_2 c_1(E_1))^2)}{\operatorname{rk} E_1 \operatorname{rk} E_2} \\ &\geq \operatorname{rk} E \frac{\Delta(E_1)}{\operatorname{rk} E_1} + \operatorname{rk} E \frac{\Delta(E_2)}{\operatorname{rk} E_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Hence by the induction of $\operatorname{rk} E$, we get the claim. □

Lemma 3.2. *For $E \in \mathcal{M}_f(\mathbf{e})^{ss}$, there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \widetilde{E} \to E \to F \to 0 \tag{3.4}$$

such that

(i) *$\widetilde{E}_{|D}$ is a stable purely 1 dimensional sheaf for every fiber D with reduced structure,*
(ii) *F is a purely 1 dimensional sheaf supported on fibers and*
(iii) *$\operatorname{Hom}(E', F) = 0$ if E' is a coherent sheaf of rank r on X such that $E'_{|D}$ is a semi-stable sheaf of degree $(c_1(E), D)$ for every D.*

By these properties, $\widetilde{E}$ and F are uniquely determined by E.

Proof. If $E_{|D}$ is not purely 1-dimensional or purely 1-dimensional but not semi-stable, then we take a surjective homomorphism $\phi : E \to E_{|D} \to G$ such that G is a semi-stable 1-dimensional sheaf with $\deg_E(G) < 0$. We set $E' := \ker \phi$. Then E' is a f-semi-stable sheaf with $\operatorname{rk} E' = \operatorname{rk} E$ and $(c_1(E'), D) = (c_1(E), D)$. If $E'_{|D}$ is not semi-stable, then we continue the same procedure. Since

$$0 \leq \Delta(E') = \Delta(E) + 2 \deg_E G < \Delta(E),$$

we finally get a desired subsheaf $\widetilde{E}$ of E. We set $F := E/\widetilde{E}$. Since F is a successive extension of semi-stable 1-dimensional sheaves G with $\deg_E(G) < 0$, we have $\operatorname{Hom}(E', F) = 0$. □

For the quotient F of E in (3.4), let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = F \tag{3.5}$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of F with respect to H. We remark that semi-stability is independent of the choice of H by the irreducibility of fibers of π. Then

$$\operatorname{Hom}(F_i/F_{i-1}, F_j/F_{j-1}(K_X)) = 0, \ i < j. \tag{3.6}$$

By the construction of F, $\deg_E(F_i/F_{i-1}) < 0$ for all i. In particular,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{E}, F_i/F_{i-1}(K_X)) = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

for all i. Let

$$F_{i-1} = F_{i-1,0} \subset F_{i-1,1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{i-1,n(i-1)} = F_i = F_{i,0} \tag{3.8}$$

be a filtration of F_i such that $F_{i,j}/F_{i,j-1}$ are stable sheaves, thus F_i/F_{i-1} is S-equivalent to $\oplus_{j=1}^{n(i-1)} F_{i-1,j}/F_{i-1,j-1}$. We set $E_{i,j} := \ker(E \to F/F_{i,j})$. Then we have a filtration

$$0 \subset \widetilde{E} = E_{0,0} \subset E_{0,1} \subset \cdots \subset E_{0,n(0)} = E_{1,0} \subset E_{1,1} \subset \cdots \subset E_{s-1,n(s-1)} = E_s = E$$

such that $E_{i,j}/E_{i,j-1} \cong F_{i,j}/F_{i,j-1}$. By Lemma 3.4, $F_{i,j}/F_{i,j-1}$ are stable sheaves on a reduced and irreducible divisor D_{ij}. Since $E_{i,j}$ are torsion free and $E_{i,j} \to F_{i,j}/F_{i,j-1}$ are surjective, we have

$$\operatorname{rk} E = \operatorname{rk} E_{i,j} \geq \operatorname{rk} F_{i,j}/F_{i,j-1}.$$

Let $\mathbf{f}_i \in K(X)_{\mathrm{top}}$ be the class of F_i/F_{i-1} and $\widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \in K(X)_{\mathrm{top}}$ the class of $\widetilde{E}$. We set

$$(r_{ij}D_{ij}, d_{ij}) := (c_1(F_{ij}/F_{i,j-1}), \chi(F_{ij}/F_{i,j-1})),$$

where $r_{ij}, d_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gcd(r_{ij}, d_{ij}) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 <& r_{ij} \leq r, \\ -\deg_E(F_{i,j}/F_{i,j-1}) =& r_{ij}(c_1(E), D_{ij}) - rd_{ij} > 0, \\ \Delta(E) =& 2\sum_{i,j}(r_{ij}(c_1(E), D_{ij}) - rd_{ij}) + \Delta(\widetilde{E}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Hence we see that the choice of $\mathbf{f}_i$ is finite.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{f}_1, ..., \mathbf{f}_s)$ be the stack of filtrations*

$$0 \subset \widetilde{E} = \widetilde{F}_0 \subset \widetilde{F}_1 \subset \widetilde{F}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \widetilde{F}_{s-1} \subset \widetilde{F}_s = E \tag{3.10}$$

such that $\widetilde{E} \in \mathcal{M}_f(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}})^{ss}$ satisfies (i) in Lemma 3.2 and $\widetilde{F}_i/\widetilde{F}_{i-1} \in \mathcal{M}_H(\mathbf{f}_i)^{ss}$ for all i. Then

$$\dim \mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{f}_1, ..., \mathbf{f}_s) = -\sum_i \chi(\mathbf{f}_i, \widetilde{\mathbf{e}}) + \dim \mathcal{M}_f(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}})^{ss} + \sum_i \mathcal{M}_H(\mathbf{f}_i)^{ss}. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. By (3.6), (3.7) and the Serre duality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}^2(F_j/F_{j-1}, F_i/F_{i-1}) = 0,\ i < j \\ \operatorname{Ext}^2(F_i/F_{i-1}, \widetilde{E}) = 0,\ 1 \leq i \leq s. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Then the proof of [9, Lem. 5.3] implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{f}_1, ..., \mathbf{f}_s) &= -\sum_i \chi(\mathbf{f}_i, \widetilde{\mathbf{e}}) - \sum_{i<j} \chi(\mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{f}_i) + \dim \mathcal{M}_f(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}})^{ss} + \sum_i \mathcal{M}_H(\mathbf{f}_i)^{ss} \\ &= -\sum_i \chi(\mathbf{f}_i, \widetilde{\mathbf{e}}) + \dim \mathcal{M}_f(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}})^{ss} + \sum_i \mathcal{M}_H(\mathbf{f}_i)^{ss}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *Let D be a reduced and irreducible curve on X with $(D^2) = 0$. For an element $G_1 \in K(X)$ with $\operatorname{rk} G_1 > 0$, let E be a G_1-twisted stable purely 1-dimensional sheaf such that $\operatorname{Div}(E) = rD$ and $\chi(E) = d$. Then E is a stable sheaf on D. In particular $\gcd(r, d) = 1$.*

Proof. We note that $\mathcal{O}_D(D)$ is a numerically trivial line bundle on D. Let T be the torsion submodule of $E_{|D}$. Then $E' := E_{|D}/T$ has the Harder-Narasimhan filtration

$$0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = E'.$$

We set $(c_1(F_i/F_{i-1}), \chi(F_i/F_{i-1})) := (r_iD, d_i)$. Then $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\sum_{i=1}^s r_i \leq r$ and

$$\frac{d_1}{r_1} > \frac{d_2}{r_2} > \cdots > \frac{d_s}{r_s}.$$

By the G_1-twisted stability of E, we have

$$0 = \frac{(\operatorname{rk} G_1)d - (c_1(G_1), rD)}{(rD, H)} \leq \frac{(\operatorname{rk} G_1)d_s - (c_1(G_1), r_sD)}{(r_sD, H)}.$$

Hence $d/r \leq d_s/r_s$.

There is a positive integer k such that E is an $\mathcal{O}_{(k+1)D}$-module and $E(-kD) \stackrel{kD}{\to} E$ is non-zero. Then we have a non-zero homomorphism $E_{|D}(-kD) \to E$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}(F_i/F_{i-1}(-kD), E) \neq 0$ for some i, which implies $d_i/r_i \leq d/r$. Then $i = s$ and $d/r = d_s/r_s$. By the stability of E, $E \to F_s/F_{s-1}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, E is a stable sheaf on D. Since D is a reduced and irreducible curve of $g(D) = 1$, there is an elliptic surface X' with a section such that D is a fiber. We set $m := \gcd(r, d)$ and $(r', d') := (r/m, d/m)$. For a general polarization H' on X', we consider the moduli space $Y := M_{H'}(0, r'f, d')$ of stable sheaves F of dimension 1 on X whose Chern character is $(0, r'f, d')$, where f is a fiber. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a universal family.

Then by a general theory of Fourier-Mukai transform, we see that E is a successeive extension of $\mathcal{E}_{|X'\times\{y\}}$ $(y \in Y)$. Since E is stable, $m = 1$. Therefore $\gcd(r, d) = 1$. □

Corollary 3.5. *For $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(0, rf, d)^{ss}$, we have a decomposition $E \cong \oplus_i E_i$ such that $D_i := \mathrm{Supp}(E_i)$ are fibers of π with reduced scheme structure, E_i are successive extension of stable sheaves E_{ij} on D_i with $\frac{\chi(E_{ij})}{\mathrm{rk}(E_{ij})(D_i,H)} = \frac{d}{r(f,H)}$, and $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let D be a reduced and irreducible curve on X with $(D^2) = 0$. For a torsion free sheaf E on X, $E_{|nD}$ is semi-stable if and only if $E_{|D}$ is semi-stable. Moreover if $E_{|D}$ is semi-stable, then E is locally free in a neighborhood of D.*

Proof. We have a filtration

$$0 \subset E(-nD) \subset E(-(n-1)D) \subset E(-(n-2)D) \subset \cdots \subset E(-D) \subset E. \tag{3.14}$$

We set $L := \mathcal{O}_D(-D)$. Then $E(-kD)/E(-(k+1)D) \cong E_{|D} \otimes L^{\otimes k}$ and $\chi(E_{|D} \otimes L^{\otimes k}) = \chi(E_{|D})$ for $0 < k < (n-1)$. Hence $E_{|nD}$ is semi-stable if and only if $E_{|D}$ is semi-stable. If $E_{|D}$ is semi-stable, then $E_{|D}$ is purely 1-dimensional, which shows that E is locally free in a neighborhood of D. □

3.2. **For the case of an unnodal Enriques surface.** Let X be an unnodal Enriques surface. Let $U := \mathbb{Z}e_1 + \mathbb{Z}e_2$ be a hyperbolic sublattice of the lattice $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})_f$. We assume that e_1, e_2 are effective and $|2e_1|$ gives an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $2\Pi_1, 2\Pi_2$ be the multiple fibers of π. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{P}^1$ be the generic point of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let $u := (r, de_2, 0)$ be a primitive and isotropic Mukai vector. We note that r is even. We assume that $(r, d) = 1$. For a Mukai vector $v \in (0, re_1, d)^\perp$, we can write $v = lu + ne_1 + \delta + a\varrho_X$ where $l, n, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\delta \in U^\perp$. If v is primitive and $\ell(v) = 2$, then $2 \mid l, 2 \mid n, 2 \mid \delta$ and $2 \nmid a$. We can easily show the following claims.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $v_i := l_i u + n_i e_1 + \delta_i + a_i \varrho_X$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be two Mukai vectors with $l_i, n_i, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\delta_i \in U^\perp$.*

(1)

$$\langle v_1, v_2\rangle = \frac{l_2}{2l_1}\langle v_1^2\rangle + \frac{l_1}{2l_2}\langle v_2^2\rangle - \frac{1}{2l_1l_2}\langle (l_2\delta_1 - l_1\delta_2)^2\rangle. \tag{3.15}$$

(2) *If $\ell(v_1) = 2$, then*

$$\langle v_1, v_2\rangle = (l_1n_2 + l_2n_1)d + (\delta_1, \delta_2) - (l_1a_2 + l_2a_1)r \in 2\mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.16}$$

Moreover if $\ell(v_2) = 2$ also holds, then $\langle v_1, v_2\rangle \in 4\mathbb{Z}$.

Let E be a f-stable sheaf with $v(E) = lu + ne_1 + \delta + a\varrho_X$, where $l, n, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\delta \in U^\perp$. Since the f-stability implies the H_f-stability, $\mathrm{rk}\, E = lr$ is even and X is unnodal, we have $\langle v(E)^2\rangle \geq 0$. Then as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, by using Lemma 3.7, we get the following inequality.

Lemma 3.8. *If $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss} \neq \emptyset$, then $\langle v^2\rangle \geq 0$.*

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that r is even and $(r, d) = 1$. Assume that $\langle v^2\rangle > 0$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(v)^{ss}$ is an open and dense substack of $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}$. In particular, $\dim \mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss} = \langle v^2\rangle$.*

Proof. We set $H := H_f$. It is sufficient to prove the claim for bounded substacks $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}_B$, $B \in \mathbb{Q}$. For $F \in \mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}$, let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = F \tag{3.17}$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of F. Then the choice of $v(F_i/F_{i-1})$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$ is finite. Replacing H by H' in a neighborhood of H, we may assume that H is a general polarization with respect to $v(F_i/F_{i-1})$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$. We set

$$v_i := v(F_i/F_{i-1}) = l_iu + n_ie_1 + \delta_i + a_i\varrho_X, 1 \leq i \leq s. \tag{3.18}$$

By Lemma 3.8, $\langle v_i^2\rangle \geq 0$ for all i. Let $\mathcal{F}^{HN}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s)$ be the substack of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{\mu ss}$ whose element E has the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of the above type. We shall prove that

$$\dim \mathcal{F}^{HN}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s) < \langle v^2\rangle. \tag{3.19}$$

Since $\mathrm{Hom}(F_i/F_{i-1}, F_j/F_{j-1}(K_X)) = 0$ for $i < j$, [9, Lem. 5.3] implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathcal{F}^{HN}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s) =& \sum_{i=1}^{s} \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss} + \sum_{i<j}\langle v_j, v_i\rangle \\ =& \langle v^2\rangle - \left(\sum_{i<j}\langle v_j, v_i\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{s}(\langle v_i^2\rangle - \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss})\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

If v_i is isotropic, then we write $v_i = k_i u_i$, where u_i is primitive and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Since $\gcd(r,d) = 1$, $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(u_i)^{ss} = 0, 1$ according as $\ell(u_i) = 1, 2$. By Proposition 1.6, $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss} \leq k_i/2, k_i$. If there is v_p with $\langle v_p^2 \rangle > 0$, then Lemma 3.7 implies $\langle v_p, v_i \rangle = k_i \langle v_p, u_i \rangle \geq k_i, 2k_i$ according as $\ell(u_i) = 1, 2$. Hence (3.19) holds. Assume that all v_i are isotropic. By Lemma 3.7, $\langle v, v_i \rangle > 0$ for all i. Hence for all i, there is a positive integer $n(i)$ such that $\langle v_i, v_{n(i)} \rangle > 0$. We set $\epsilon := \ell(u_i) + \ell(u_j) - 2$. Then

$$2^{\epsilon} k_i k_j - \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss} - \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_j)^{ss} > 0.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i \in \{i | i < n(i)\}} \langle v_i, v_{n(i)} \rangle > \sum_i \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss}.$$

Therefore (3.19) holds. □

Lemma 3.10. *If $v = lu + ne_1 + \delta + a\varrho_X$ is isotropic, then we have $\dim \mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss} \leq \left[\frac{l}{2}\right]$.*

Proof. Any v_i in (3.18) is isotropic and $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss} = [k_i/2], k_i$ accordng as $\ell(u_i) = 1, 2$. If $\ell(v_i) = 2$, then $l_i \geq 2k_i$. Hence $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss} \leq l_i/2$ for all i. Therefore

$$\dim \mathcal{F}^{HN}(v_1, v_2, ..., v_s) = \sum_i \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss} \leq \frac{l}{2}.$$

□

Definition 3.3.
(1) Let $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}_*$ be the open substack of $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}$ consisting of E such that $E_{|\pi^{-1}(t)}$ is semi-stable for all $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$. By Lemma 3.6, $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}$ consisting of E such that $E_{|\pi^{-1}(t)_{\mathrm{red}}}$ is semi-stable for all $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$, where $\pi^{-1}(t)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is the reduced part of $\pi^{-1}(t)$.
(2) Let $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}_*$ be the open substack of $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}$ consisting of E such that $E_{|\pi^{-1}(t)_{\mathrm{red}}}$ is semi-stable for all $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

Proposition 3.11. *We set $v := lu + ne_1 + \delta + a\varrho_X$, where $l, n, a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l > 0$ and $\delta \in U^{\perp}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}_*$ is an open and dense substack of $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}$.*

Proof. For $E \in \mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}$, we have the filtration (3.4). For the filtration (3.5), we set

$$v_i := v(F_i/F_{i-1}) = k_i(0, r_i e_1, d_i),$$

where $(0, r_i e_1, d_i)$ are primitive. By Proposition 3.3,

$$\langle v^2 \rangle - \dim \mathcal{F}(\tilde{v}, v_1, ..., v_s) = \sum_i l k_i (r_i d - r d_i) - \sum_i \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss} - (\dim \mathcal{M}_f(\tilde{v})^{ss} - \langle \tilde{v}^2 \rangle). \tag{3.21}$$

We first assume that $\tilde{v}$ is isotropic. Then $\dim \mathcal{M}_f(\tilde{v})^{ss} - \langle \tilde{v}^2 \rangle \leq [\frac{l}{2}]$ by Lemma 3.10. If $\ell(v_i) = 2$, then r_i is even. In this case, we have $(r_i d - r d_i) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &l k_i (r_i d - r d_i) - \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss} - (\dim \mathcal{M}_f(\tilde{v})^{ss} - \langle \tilde{v}^2 \rangle) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ l k_i - \left[\frac{k_i}{2}\right] - \left[\frac{l}{2}\right], 2l k_i - k_i - \left[\frac{l}{2}\right] \right\} > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

If $\tilde{v}$ is not isotropic, then by using Proposition 3.9, we get $\langle v^2 \rangle - \dim \mathcal{F}(\tilde{v}, v_1, ..., v_s) > 0$. Therefore our claim holds. □

By the proof of Proposition 3.11, we can compute the boundary components of $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}_*$. Indeed we see that

$$l k_i (r_i d - r d_i) - \dim \mathcal{M}_H(v_i)^{ss} - (\dim \mathcal{M}_f(\tilde{v})^{ss} - \langle \tilde{v}^2 \rangle) = 1 \tag{3.23}$$

implies that $(l, k_i) = (1,1), (1,2), (2,1)$. If $\langle v^2 \rangle - \dim \mathcal{F}(\tilde{v}, v_1, ..., v_s) = 1$, then we see that $s = 1$ and $r_i d - r d_i = 1$ for $\ell(v_i) = 1$ and $s = 1$ and $r_i d - r d_i = 2$ for $\ell(v_i) = 2$. Thus a general member E of $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss} \setminus \mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}_*$ fits in an extension

$$0 \to E' \to E \to F \to 0 \tag{3.24}$$

where $E' \in \mathcal{M}_f(v_1)^{ss}$ and $F \in \mathcal{M}_H(v_2)^{ss}$.

Assume that $l = 1$. We take integers (p, q) such that $0 < p \leq r$ and $pd - rq = 1$ and set $u_1 := (0, pe_1, q)$. Let $\mathcal{F}(v - u_1, u_1)^s$ be the open substack parameterizing torsion free sheaves E fitting in the extension (3.24) such that $\mathrm{Div}(F) = p\Pi_i$. Then it defines a divisor on $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be the divisor.

We note that $\mathcal{M}_H(2u_1, pf)^s$ consists of stable locally free sheaves of rank p and degree $2q$ on a smooth fiber. Let $\mathcal{F}(v - 2u_1, 2u_1)^s$ be the open substack parameterizing torsion free sheaves E fitting in the extension (3.24) such that $\mathrm{Div}(F) = pf$. Then it defines a divisor $\mathcal{D}_3$ on $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}$.

We set $u_2 := (0, 2p'e_1, 2q')$, where $0 < p' \leq r$, $(p', q') = (p \pm r/2, q \pm d/2)$. Then u_2 is a primitive Mukai vector with $\langle v, u_2 \rangle = (2p')d - r(2q') = 2$. $\mathcal{M}_H(u_2, p'f)^s$ consists of stable locally free sheaves of rank p' and

degree $2q'$ on a smooth fiber. Let $\mathcal{F}(v-u_2,u_2)^s$ be the open substack parameterizing torsion free sheaves E fitting in the extension (3.24) such that $\mathrm{Div}(F)=p'f$. Then it defines a divisor $\mathcal{D}_4$ on $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}$.

Since $\mathcal{M}_f(v)^{ss}=\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(v)^{ss}$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(v)^{ss}=\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(v)^{ss}_*\cup\mathcal{D}_1\cup\mathcal{D}_2\cup\mathcal{D}_3\cup\mathcal{D}_4 \tag{3.25}$$

up to codimension 2.

Example 3.1. For $v=(2,e_2+ne_1+\delta,a)$ with $u=(2,e_2,0)$, we have $(p,q)=(1,0)$ and $(p',q')=(p,q)+\frac{1}{2}(r,d)=(2,\frac{1}{2})$. In particular $u_1=(0,e_1,0)$ and $u_2=(0,4e_1,1)$. As we shall see in the next section, (3.25) holds without removing codimension 2 subset.

4. Irreducibility

4.1. **Unnodal case.** Assume that X is an unnodal Enriques surface and f a smooth fiber of an elliptic fibration $\pi:X\to\mathbb{P}^1$. Let $v=(r,\xi,\frac{s}{2})$ be a primitive Mukai vector such that r is even. Then for $L\in\mathrm{NS}(X)$ with $[L \mod K_X]=\xi$, we have an equality of "Hodge polynomials" of the stacks defined in [24] (see [28, Prop. 2.4, Thm. 2.6]):

$$e(\mathcal{M}_H(v,L+\tfrac{r}{2}K_X)^{ss})=e(\mathcal{M}_H(v',L'+K_X)^{ss}),$$

where $v'=(2,\zeta,\frac{s'}{2})$, $[L' \mod K_X]=\zeta$, $L\equiv L' \mod 2$, $\langle v^2\rangle=\langle v'^2\rangle$ and $\zeta=0$ if $\ell(v)=2$. Assume that $\ell(v)=\ell(v')=2$. Then $v'=(2,0,-2n)$ for some $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. We set $v''=(4,2(e_2+(n+1)e_1),1)$. Then $e(\mathcal{M}_H(v',L'+K_X)^{ss})=e(\mathcal{M}_H(v'',L'')^{ss})$, where $L'\equiv L'' \mod 2$. In order to prove the irreducibility of $\mathcal{M}_H(v,L)^{ss}$, it is sufficient to prove the irredicibility for the following two cases:

(1) $v=(2,e_2+ne_1+\delta,a)$
(2) $v=(4,2(e_2+(n+1)e_1),1)$.

In particular, $u=(2,e_2,0)$ in the notation of subsection 3.2. We note that $M_H(0,f,1)$ is a fine moduli space and it is isomorphic to X. $M_H(0,f,1)$ parametrizes torsion free sheaves of rank 1 on a reduced and irreducible fiber $\pi^{-1}(t)$ and stable vector bundles of rank 2 and degree 1 on Π_i. Thus

$$M_H(0,f,1)=\bigcup_{t\in\mathbb{P}^1_0}\overline{\mathrm{Pic}}^1_{\pi^{-1}(t)}\cup M_{\Pi_1}(2,1)\cup M_{\Pi_2}(2,1)$$

where π has reduced fibers over $\mathbb{P}^1_0$, $\overline{\mathrm{Pic}}^1_{\pi^{-1}(t)}$ are the compactified Jacobian of degree 1 and $M_{\Pi_i}(2,1)$ are the moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank 2 and degree 1 on Π_i. We take an identification $M_H(0,f,1)\cong X$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a universal family on $X\times X$. By [2],

$$\mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{x\}}\otimes K_X\cong\mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{x\}},\ x\in X \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X\to X}: & \mathbf{D}(X) & \to & \mathbf{D}(X)\\ & E & \mapsto & \mathbf{R}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{p_2}(p_1^*(E)\otimes\mathcal{E})\end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

is an equivalence, that is, a Fourier-Mukai transform, where $p_i:X\times X\to X$ $(i=1,2)$ are projections. We consider a contravariant Fourier-Mukai transform

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\Psi: & \mathbf{D}(X) & \to & \mathbf{D}(X)\\ & E & \mapsto & \mathbf{R}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{p_2}(p_1^*(E),\mathcal{E}).\end{array} \tag{4.3}$$

Since $\Psi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a line bundle, replacing the universal family, we may assume that $\Psi(\mathcal{O}_X)=\mathcal{O}_X$. We set $\Psi^i(E):=H^0(\Psi(E)[i])\in\mathrm{Coh}(X)$.

Lemma 4.1. *$\Psi(0,0,1)=(0,2e_1,1)$, $\Psi(0,4e_1,1)=(0,-2e_1,1)$ and $\Psi(0,e_1,0)=(0,-e_1,0)$.*

Proof. We note that $\Psi(k_x)=\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X}[-2]$. Hence $c_1(\Psi(k_x))=2e_1$. Since $1=\chi(\mathcal{O}_X,k_x)=-\langle\Psi(k_x),\Psi(\mathcal{O}_X)\rangle$, we have $\Psi(0,0,1)=(0,2e_1,1)$. Since $\Psi(\mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{x\}})=k_x[-2]$, $\Psi(0,2e_1,1)=(0,0,1)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}(0,4e_1,1)=&2(0,2e_1,1)-(0,0,1),\\ 2(0,e_1,0)=&(0,2e_1,1)-(0,0,1),\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(0,4e_1,1)=&(0,-2e_1,1),\\ \Psi(0,e_1,0)=&(0,-e_1,0).\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

□

For cases (1) and (2), by [27, Prop. 3.4.5], Ψ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}\to\mathcal{M}^{G'}_{H'}(w)^{ss}$, where $w=(0,\xi,a)$ with $(\xi,e_1)=1,2$, $\mathcal{M}^{G'}_{H'}(w)^{ss}$ is the moduli space of G'-twisted semi-stable sheaves, and $H'\in\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $G'\in K(X)$ depend on the choice of H and v.

Remark 4.1. Since H is a general polarization, $\mathcal{M}_H^G(v)^{ss}$ is independent of the choice of G. Hence we do not need to consider twisted semi-stability.

We have a support map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \varphi: & \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w,L)^{ss} & \to & |L| \\ & E & \mapsto & \det E. \end{array} \tag{4.6}$$

Lemma 4.2. *$\mathcal{M}_H(v)_*^{ss}$ is isomorphic to the open substack $\mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w)_*^{ss}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w)^{ss}$ consisting of semi-stable sheaves E such that* $\mathrm{Div}(E)$ *is flat over $\mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. As we remarked, Ψ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss} \to \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w)^{ss}$.

For $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(v)_*^{ss}$, the semi-stability of $E_{|\pi^{-1}(t)}$ implies that $E_{|\pi^{-1}(t)}$ is a successive extension of $\mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{x\}}$ ($x \in \pi^{-1}(t)$). Hence $\mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{x\}}) = 0$ for a general point of $x \in \pi^{-1}(t)$. Hence $\mathrm{Supp}(\Psi^1(E))$ does not contain a fiber, which implies $\mathrm{Div}(\Psi^1(E))$ is flat over $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Conversely for $L \in \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w)_*^{ss}$, $L^* := L^\vee[1]$ is a purely 1-dimensional sheaf on X. Hence $E := \mathrm{Ext}^1_{p_1}(p_2^*(L), \mathcal{E}) = p_{1*}(p_2^*(L^*) \otimes \mathcal{E})$ is a locally free sheaf on X such that $E_{|\pi^{-1}(t)}$ is semi-stable for all $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Therefore the claim holds. □

For case (1), we have $w = (0, \xi, a)$ with $(\xi, e_1) = 1$. We take $L \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ with $[L \mod K_X] = \xi$. Then for $E \in \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w,L)_*^{ss}$, $\mathrm{Div}(E)$ is integral. Let $|L|_*$ be the open subscheme parametrizing integral curves. Then $\varphi : \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w,L)_*^{ss} \to |L|_*$ is a family of compactified jacobians over $|L|_*$. By [1], all fibers are irreducible of dimension $(L^2)/2$. Hence $\mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w,L)_*^{ss}$ is irreducible. Thus by Proposition 3.11, we have the following.

Proposition 4.3. *$\mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w,L)^{ss}$ is irreducible.*

We note that $|L| \setminus |L|_*$ is a divisor consisting of three irreducible components:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{D \in |L| \mid \Pi_i \subset D\} \ \ (i = 1, 2), \\ &\{D \in |L| \mid \pi^{-1}(t) \subset D, t \in \mathbb{P}^1\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Hence (3.25) holds without removing codimension 2 subsets. Let u_1 and u_2 be the Mukai vectors in Example 3.1. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to \widetilde{E} \to E \to F \to 0$$

in (3.24) with $F \in \mathcal{M}_H(u_1, p\Pi_i)^s \cup \mathcal{M}_H(2u_1, pf)^s \cup \mathcal{M}_H(u_2, p'f)^s$ and $\widetilde{E} \in \mathcal{M}_f(v - v(F))_*^{ss}$,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{x\}}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{x\}}, F)^\vee = 0 \tag{4.8}$$

for all $x \in X$ by (4.1), $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{d}{r} > \frac{q}{p} = 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{d}{r} > \frac{q'}{p'} = \frac{1}{4}$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(F, \mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{x\}}) = 0$ for a general $x \in X$, we see that

$$\Psi(\widetilde{E})[1], \Psi(E)[1], \Psi(F)[1] \in \mathrm{Coh}(X)$$

and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Psi^1(F) \to \Psi^1(E) \to \Psi^1(\widetilde{E}) \to 0.$$

By using Lemma 4.1, we have the following description of the boundary divisors.

(i) For a general member $E \in \Psi^1(\mathcal{D}_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$, $\mathrm{Div}(E) = \Pi_i + C$, where C is flat over π.
(ii) For a general member $E \in \Psi^1(\mathcal{D}_i)$ $(i = 3, 4)$, $\mathrm{Div}(E) = f + C$, where C is flat over π.

By this description of the boundary, we have the following claim

Proposition 4.4 (cf.[17, Assumption 2.16])**.** *We set*

$$|L|_{nr} := \{D \in |L| \mid \ D \text{ is not reduced}\}$$

and $\mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w,L)_{nr} = \varphi^{-1}(|L|_{nr})$. Then $\mathrm{codim}_{\mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w,L)}(\mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w,L)_{nr}) \geq 2$.

We next treat case (2). Since $\Psi(v) = 2\Psi((2, e_2 + (n+1)e_1, 0)) + (0, 2e_1, 1)$ by Lemma 4.1, we set $w = (0, 2\xi, a)$ with $(2, a) = 1$. Let L be a divisor with $[L \mod K_X] = \xi$. We shall prove the irreducibility of $\mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w, 2L)^{ss}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w, 2L + K_X)^{ss}$. In order to prove the irreducibility of $\mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w, 2L)^{ss}$, we consider the support map $\varphi : \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w, 2L)^{ss} \to |2L|$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 :=& \{D \in |2L| \mid D = 2C\}, \\ N_2 :=& \{D \in |2L| \mid D = C_1 + C_2, (C_1, e_1) = (C_2, e_1) = 1, C_1 \neq C_2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_i := \{E \in \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w, 2L)_*^{ss} \mid \mathrm{Div}(E) \in N_i\}. \tag{4.10}$$

Lemma 4.5. $\dim \mathcal{M}_1 \leq \frac{7}{2}(L^2) - 1$.

Proof. Let $E \in \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(w, 2L)^{ss}$ satisfy $\mathrm{Div}(E) = 2C$, $C \in |L + \epsilon K_X|$ $(\epsilon = 0, 1)$. If E is not an $\mathcal{O}_C$-module, then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to E_1 \to E \to E_0 \to 0 \tag{4.11}$$

and an injective homomorphism $E_0(-C) \to E_1$. Indeed, let T be the 0-dimensional submodule of $E_{|C}$. Then $E_0 = E_{|C}/T$ and $E_1 = \ker(E \to E_0)$. Since E_1 is purely 1-dimensional, $E_1/(E_0(-C))$ is 0-dimensional and $E_0(-C)$ is an $\mathcal{O}_C$-module, E_1 is also an $\mathcal{O}_C$-module. If C is smooth, the locus of E fitting into (4.11) is of dimension $2(C^2) = 4g(C) - 4$ by [6, Prop. 2.1]. Since $\dim |C| = (C^2)/2$, it is of dimension $5(L^2)/2$. Assume that C is singular. We set $v(E_i) = v_i := (0, C, a_i)$. Since $v(E_0(-C)) = (0, C, a_0 - (C^2))$, we have

$$v(E_1) = v_1 = (0, C, a_0 - (C^2) + k),\ k \geq 0.$$

Since $2a_0 - (C^2) + k = a$ is odd, $k \geq 1$. Since E_0 and E_1 are stable sheaves on C,

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_0(K_X)) \leq \chi(E_0) - \chi(E_1) + 1 = (C^2) - k + 1 \leq (C^2).$$

We set

$$M_i := \{E \in \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(v_i, C)_*^{ss} \mid \mathrm{Div}(E) \text{ is singular}\}. \tag{4.12}$$

Since all fibers of $\varphi : \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(v_i, C)_*^{ss} \to |C|_*$ are of dimension $(C^2)/2$ by [1], we get

$$\dim M_0 \times_{|C|} M_1 + \langle v_0, v_1 \rangle + (C^2) \leq \frac{7}{2}(C^2) - 1, \tag{4.13}$$

which shows the locus of E fitting into (4.11) such that $E_i \in M_i$ is at most of dimension $7(C^2)/2 - 1$ by Lemma 0.4.

Assume that E is an $\mathcal{O}_C$-module. If C is smooth, then E is a stable locally free sheaf of rank 2 on C. Hence the dimension is $\dim |C| + 4(g(C) - 1) = 5(C^2)/2$. We assume that C is singular. We set $a = 2k + 1$. Let $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$ be a line bundle of degree 1 on C. Since $\chi(E(-k)) = 1$, we have a homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_C(k) \to E$. Hence we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to E_1 \to E \to E_0 \to 0 \tag{4.14}$$

such that E_0 and E_1 are torsion free of rank 1 and E_1 contains $\mathcal{O}_C(k)$. We set $v(E_i) = v_i = (0, C, a_i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 =& \chi(E_1) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_C(k)) + l\ (l \geq 0), \\ a_0 =& a - a_1 = 2k + 1 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C(k)) - l. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_C(k)) = k - \frac{(C^2)}{2}$, we have

$$\chi(E_0) - \chi(E_1) = (2k + 1) - 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_C(k)) - 2l = 1 + (C^2) - 2l.$$

Hence $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_0(K_X)) \leq (C^2) + 2 - 2l$. If $l \geq 1$, then

$$\dim M_0 \times_{|C|} M_1 + \langle v_0, v_1 \rangle + (C^2) \leq \frac{7}{2}(C^2) - 1. \tag{4.16}$$

If $l = 0$, then $E_1 = \mathcal{O}_C(k)$. Hence

$$-1 + \dim M_1 + \langle v_0, v_1 \rangle + (C^2) + 2 \leq -1 + (C^2) - 1 + 2(C^2) + 2 = 3(C^2). \tag{4.17}$$

Since $(C^2)/2 \geq 1$, $7(C^2)/2 - 1 - 3(C^2) \geq 0$. Hence the locus of E fitting into (4.14) is at most of dimension $7(C^2)/2 - 1$ by Lemma 0.4. Therefore we get the claim. □

Lemma 4.6. $\dim \mathcal{M}_2 \leq 4(L^2) - 2$.

Proof. For $E \in \mathcal{M}_2$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to E_1 \to E \to E_2 \to 0 \tag{4.18}$$

where $\mathrm{Div}\, E = C_1 + C_2$, $\mathrm{Div}(E_1) = C_1$ and $\mathrm{Div}(E_2) = C_2$. By the flatness of $\mathrm{Div}(E)$, C_1 and C_2 are integral curves and $C_1 \neq C_2$. By the stability of E, we have

$$\frac{a}{(2L, H)} \leq \frac{\chi(E_2)}{(C_2, H)},\ \frac{\chi(E_2) - (C_1, C_2)}{(C_2, H)} \leq \frac{a}{(2L, H)}. \tag{4.19}$$

We set $v_i := v(E_i) = (0, C_i, a_i)$. By (4.19), the choice of v_i is finite. Since $(C_i, e_1) = 1$, v_i are primitive with $\ell(v_i) = 1$. Hence $\dim \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(v_i)^{ss} = \langle v_i^2 \rangle = (C_i^2)$. Let $J(v_1, v_2)$ be the substack of $\mathcal{M}_2$ such that $E_i \in \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(v_i, C_i)^{ss}$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2(K_X)) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim J(v_1, v_2) =& \dim \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(v_1, C_1)^{ss} + \dim \mathcal{M}_{H'}^{G'}(v_2, C_2)^{ss} + (C_1, C_2) \\ =& (C_1^2) + (C_2^2) + (C_1, C_2) = 4(L^2) - (C_1, C_2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

We note that $(C_1^2), (C_2^2) \geq 0$. If $(C_1^2), (C_2^2) > 0$, then $(C_1, C_2)^2 \geq (C_1^2)(C_2^2) \geq 4$. Hence $(C_1, C_2) \geq 2$. If one of $(C_i^2) = 0$, then $(C_1, C_2) = (2L, C_i) - (C_i^2) = 2(L, C_i) \geq 2$. Therefore $\dim J(v_1, v_2) \leq 4(L^2) - 2$. □

We set $\mathcal{M}^{G'}_{H'}(w,2L)^{ss}_0 := \mathcal{M}^{G'}_{H'}(w,2L)^{ss}_* \setminus (\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2)$.

Proposition 4.7. *$\mathcal{M}^{G'}_{H'}(w,2L)^{ss}_0$ is an open and dense substack of $\mathcal{M}^{G'}_{H'}(w,2L)^{ss}$. In particular, it is irreducible.*

For any $C_1 + C_2 \in |2L + K_X|$, $C_1 \neq C_2$. We have a similar estimate as in Lemma 4.6. Hence we get

Proposition 4.8. *$\mathcal{M}^{G'}_{H'}(w,2L+K_X)^{ss}$ is irreducible.*

By Propositions 4.3, 4.7 and 4.8, Theorem 0.2 holds, if X is unnodal.

Remark 4.2. For $w = (0, 2\xi, 2b)$, we define $\mathcal{M}_i (\subset \mathcal{M}^{G'}_{H'}(w,2L)^{ss}_*)$ in a similar way. Then we see that $\dim \mathcal{M}_1 \leq \frac{7}{2}(L^2)$ and $\dim \mathcal{M}_2 \leq 4(L^2) - 2$. Hence $\mathcal{M}^{G'}_{H'}(w,2L)^{ss}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{G'}_{H'}(w,2L+K_X)^{ss}$ are irreducible. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_H(2v,L)^{ss}$ is irreducible, where v is primitive, $\operatorname{rk} v$ is even and $\ell(v) = 1$.

Remark 4.3. For a divisor $D' := 2^m D + K_X$ such that $(D \in \mathrm{NS}(X))$ is primitive, D' is not linearly equivalent to pC, $p \geq 2$. Hence we also see that $\mathcal{M}_H(2^m v, L)^{ss}$ is irreducible, if v is primitive and $L \neq 2L'$, $L' \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$.

4.2. **General cases.** We shall treat a general case by the arguments in [28, sect. 3]. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H}) \to S$ be a general deformation of (X, H) such that a general member is not nodal and $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{H}_0) = (X, H)$ $(0 \in S)$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a family of divisors such that $\mathcal{L}_0 = L \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$. Then we have a family of moduli spaces of semi-stable sheaves $\phi : M_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{H})}(v, \mathcal{L}) \to S$. Since $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_s) = H^2(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathbb{Z})$ is locally constant, we may assume that $\mathcal{H}_s$ is general with respect to v for all $s \in S$. Let M_0 be the open subscheme of $M_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{H})}(v)$ such that $E \in \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{X}_s)$ belongs to M_0 if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}(E, E(K_{\mathcal{X}_s})) = 0$. Then M_0 is smooth over S. By Lemma 1.1, M_0 is a dense subscheme of $M_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{H})}(v, \mathcal{L})$. Since $(M_0)_s$ is irreducible for any unnodal surface $\mathcal{X}_s$, M_0 is irreducible, which implies $M_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{H})}(v, \mathcal{L})$ is also irreducible. By the Zariski connectedness theorem, all fibers are connected. In particular, $M_H(v, L)$ is connected. If $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 4$, then $M_H(v, L)$ is irreducible by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2 (1). Therefore we get the following.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $v = (r, \xi, a)$ be a primitive Mukai vector such that r is even. Then $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ is connected for a general H. Moreover if $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 4$, then $\mathcal{M}_H(v, L)^{ss}$ is irreducible for a general H.*

Remark 4.4. Let v be a primitive Mukai vector. By [24, Rem. 4.1], the proof of [28, Thm. 2.6] and [28, Rem. 2.19], we have

$$e(\mathcal{M}_H(mv)^{ss}) = e(\mathcal{M}_H(mw)^{ss}),$$

where $w = (1, 0, -\frac{s}{2})$ if $\operatorname{rk} v$ is odd, and $w = (2, \xi_i, -\frac{s}{2})$ $(1 \leq i \leq 2^{10})$ with

$$\{\xi_i \mod 2 | 1 \leq i \leq 2^{10}\} = \mathrm{NS_f}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 10}$$

if $\operatorname{rk} v$ is even. By the works of Gieseker-Li [4] or O'Grady [15], moduli stacks are asymptotically irreducible:

If $\operatorname{rk} w = 1$, then there is $N_1(m)$ such that $\mathcal{M}_H(mw, L)^{ss}$ is irreducible for $\langle w^2 \rangle \geq N_1(m)$. Assume taht $\operatorname{rk} w = 2$. For each $(m \operatorname{rk} w, m\xi_i)$, there is $N(m, \xi_i)$ such that $\mathcal{M}_H(mw, L)^{ss}$ is irreducible if $\langle w^2 \rangle \geq N(m, \xi_i)$. We set $N_2(m) := \max_i N(m, \xi_i)$. Then $\mathcal{M}_H(mv, L)^{ss}$ is irreducible if $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq N(m) := \max\{N_1(m), N_2(m)\}$.

5. Appendix

5.1. Let $\pi : X \to C$ be an elliptic surface. Let $\mathbf{e} \in K(X)_{\mathrm{top}}$ be the class of a coherent sheaf E with $\operatorname{rk} E = r$ and $(c_1(E), f) = d$. Assume that $\gcd(r, d) = 1$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss} = \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{s}$ is smooth of dimension $-\chi(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}) + p_g$, where $p_g := \dim H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the geometric genus of X. In this case, Bridgeland showed that a suitable relative Fourier-Mukai transform induces a birational map between $M_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})$ and the moduli of stable sheaves of rank 1. In this section, we shall slightly refine the correspondence. We assume that every fiber is irreducible and there is no multiple fiber. Then we have a refinement of Proposition 3.3. Let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = F$$

be the filtration in (3.5). We set

$$(c_1(F_i/F_{i-1}), \chi(F_i/F_{i-1})) := l_i(r_i f, d_i),$$

where $l_i, r_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gcd(r_i, d_i) = 1$ and $l_i > 0$. We set $\mu_{\min}(E) := d_s/r_s$. We define $\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{f}_1, ..., \mathbf{f}_s)$ as in Proposition 3.3. Then we have

Proposition 5.1. $\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{f}_1, ..., \mathbf{f}_s) = \sum_i l_i((r_i d - r d_i) - 1)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 and $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(\mathbf{f}_i)^{ss} = l_i$,

$$\dim \mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{f}_1, ..., \mathbf{f}_s) = \sum_i l_i(r_i d - r d_i) + \dim \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}})^{ss} + \sum_i l_i. \tag{5.1}$$

Since $\chi(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{f}_i) = \chi(\mathbf{f}_i, \widetilde{\mathbf{e}})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss} &= -\chi(\mathbf{e},\mathbf{e}) + p_g \\ &= -\chi(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}},\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}) - \sum_i 2\chi(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{f}_i) + p_g \\ &= \dim \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}})^{ss} + 2\sum_i l_i(r_i d - r d_i). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Hence the claim holds. □

Let $Y := M_H(0, r'f, d')$ be a fine moduli space of stable sheaves on X and $\mathbf{P}$ a universal family on $X \times Y$. $p_X : X \times Y \to X$ and $p_Y : X \times Y \to Y$ denote the projections. We consider a contravariant functor

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{X\to Y} \circ D_X : & \mathbf{D}(X) & \to & \mathbf{D}(Y) \\ & E & \mapsto & \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{p_Y}(p_X^*(E), \mathbf{P}). \end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

By the Grothendieck-Serre duality, $D_Y \circ \Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{X\to Y} \cong \Phi^{\mathbf{P}^\vee[2]\otimes p_X^*(\omega_X)}_{X\to Y} \circ D_X$. Hence $\Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{Y\to X} \circ D_Y$ is the inverse of $\Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{X\to Y} \circ D_X$. Assume that $r'd - rd' > 0$. Then

Lemma 5.2. *For $E \in \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss}$, $\Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{X\to Y}(E^\vee)[1] \in \operatorname{Coh}(Y)$. Moreover if $\mu_{\min}(E) \geq d'/r'$, then $\Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{X\to Y}(E^\vee)[1]$ is torsion free. In particular, $\Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{X\to Y}(E^\vee)[1]$ is stable.*

Proof. We note that

$$\mathbf{P}_{|X\times\{y\}} \otimes K_X \cong \mathbf{P}_{|X\times\{y\}}$$

for all $y \in Y$ by the general theory of Fourier-Mukai transforms [2]. By the Serre duality and the torsion freeness of E,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^2(E, \mathbf{P}_{|X\times\{y\}}) = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{P}_{|X\times\{y\}}, E)^\vee = 0.$$

Hence $H^2(\Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{X\to Y}(E^\vee)) = 0$. We note that $\operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathbf{P}_{|X\times\{y\}}) = 0$, if $E_{|\pi^{-1}(\pi(y))}$ is semi-stable. Since $E_{|f}$ is semi-stable for a general fiber of π, $\operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathbf{P}_{|X\times\{y\}}) = 0$ for a general $y \in Y$. Since $H^0(\Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{X\to Y}(E^\vee))$ is torsion free, $H^0(\Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{X\to Y}(E^\vee)) = 0$. Therefore $\Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{X\to Y}(E^\vee)[1] \in \operatorname{Coh}(Y)$.

Assume that $\mu_{\min}(E) \geq d'/r'$. If $\operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathbf{P}_{|X\times\{y\}}) \neq 0$, then F_s/F_{s-1} in (3.5) is a semi-stable sheaf with $\mu(F_s/F_{s-1}) = d'/r'$ and we have a surjective homomorphism $F_s/F_{s-1} \to \mathbf{P}_{|X\times\{y\}}$. Assume that F_s/F_{s-1} is S-equivalent to $\oplus_{i=1}^k E_i$, where E_i are stable 1-dimensional sheaves with $\mu(E_i) = d'/r'$. Then $\mathbf{P}_{|X\times\{y\}} \in \{E_1, ..., E_k\}$. Therefore $H^1(\Phi^{\mathbf{P}}_{X\to Y}(E^\vee))$ is torsion free. □

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\mathbf{e}' \in K(Y)$ be the class of an ideal sheaf $I_Z \in \operatorname{Hilb}^b_Y$. Then there is a (contravariant) Fourier-Mukai transform $\mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(Y)$ which induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss} \setminus \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{M}_{H'_f}(\mathbf{e}')^{ss} \setminus \mathcal{Z}',$$

where $2b = -\chi(\mathbf{e},\mathbf{e}) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$, $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss}$ and $\mathcal{Z}' \subset \mathcal{M}_{H'_f}(\mathbf{e}')^{ss}$ are closed substacks with

$$\dim \mathcal{Z}, \dim \mathcal{Z}' \leq \dim \mathcal{M}_{H'_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss} - 2.$$

Proof. Let (p, q) be a pair of integers such that $dp - rq = 1$ and $0 < p < r$. We first assume that $p \leq r/2$. If two integers x, y safisfy $dx - ry = m$ $(m = 1, 2)$, then $(x, y) = m(p, q) + n(r, d)$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $0 < x \leq r$, then we get $n = 0$, i.e., $(x, y) = m(p, q)$. If a pair (x, y) of integers safisfy $x > 0$ and $y/x < d/r$, then we have $y/x \leq q/p$ or $x \geq r + p > r$ by [22, Lem. 5.1].

For the filtration (3.5), we have $0 < r_i \leq r$. Hence we have

$$\frac{q}{p} \geq \frac{d_1}{r_1} > \frac{d_2}{r_2} > \cdots > \frac{d_s}{r_s}. \tag{5.4}$$

Assume that

$$\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{f}_1, ..., \mathbf{f}_s) = \sum_i l_i((r_i d - r d_i) - 1) \leq 1. \tag{5.5}$$

Then $r_i d - r d_i = 1, 2$ and $0 < r_i \leq r$ imply that $(r_i, d_i) = (p, q), (2p, 2q)$. We set

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{E \in \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss} \mid \mu_{\min}(E) < q/p\}.$$

Then $\dim \mathcal{Z} \leq \dim \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss} - 2$ by Proposition 5.1. For $(r', d') = (p, q)$, we consider the Fourier-Mukai transform (5.3). Since $\operatorname{rk} \Phi^{\mathbf{P}[1]}_{X\to Y}(\mathbf{e}^\vee) = 1$, we may assume that $\Phi^{\mathbf{P}[1]}_{X\to Y}(\mathbf{e}^\vee) = \mathbf{e}'$. We note that $\tau(\mathbf{P}_{|\{x\}\times Y}) = (0, r'f, -r)$. We set

$$\mathcal{Z}' := \{E \in \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e}')^{ss} \mid \mu_{\min}(E) < -r\}.$$

Since $r \geq 2$, we have $\dim \mathcal{Z}' \leq \dim \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss} - 2$ by Proposition 5.1. Hence $\Phi^{\mathbf{P}[1]}_{X\to Y} \circ D_X$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss} \setminus \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{M}_{H'_f}(\mathbf{e}')^{ss} \setminus \mathcal{Z}'$$

by Lemma 5.2. We next assume that $p > r/2$. In this case, we have $r \geq 3$. Then the closed substack W of $\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss}$ consisting of non-locally free sheaves is of codimension $r-1 \geq 2$. For the topological invariant $\mathbf{e}^\vee$, $(x,y) = (r-p, q-d)$ safisfies $0 < r-p < r/2$ and $(-d)x - ry = 1$. Applying the first part, we have a similar isomorphism. Thus $\Phi_{X\to Y}^{\mathbf{P}^\vee[1]}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss} \setminus \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{M}_{H'_f}(\mathbf{e}')^{ss} \setminus \mathcal{Z}',$$

where $(r', d') = (r-p, d-q)$, $\mathcal{Z}$ consists of E which is non locally free or $\mu_{\min}(E) < (d-q)/(r-p)$ and $\mathcal{Z}'$ consists of F with $\mu_{\min}(F) \leq -r$. □

For $\mathbf{e} \in K(X)_{\mathrm{top}}$, we set

$$K(X)_{\mathbf{e}} := \{\alpha \in K(X) \mid \chi(\alpha, \mathbf{e}) = 0\}.$$

We have a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \theta_{\mathbf{e}}: & K(X)_{\mathbf{e}} & \to & \mathrm{Pic}(M_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})) \\ & \alpha & \mapsto & \det p_!(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_X^*(\alpha^\vee)), \end{array} \tag{5.6}$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is a universal family. We note that $\theta_{\mathbf{e}}$ can be defined even if there is no universal family by using a family on a quot-scheme. For the Fouruer-Mukai transform Φ in Proposition 5.3, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(X)_{\mathbf{e}} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & K(Y)_{\mathbf{e}'} \\ {\scriptstyle\theta_{\mathbf{e}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\theta_{\mathbf{e}'}} \\ \mathrm{Pic}(M_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})) & = & \mathrm{Pic}(M_{H'_f}(\mathbf{e}')) \end{array} . \tag{5.7}$$

Corollary 5.4. *Assume that* $\dim M_{H_f}(\mathbf{e}) \geq 4 + q(X)$ *and* $k = \mathbb{C}$. *Then we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \tau \longrightarrow K(X)_{\mathbf{e}} \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathbf{e}}} \mathrm{Pic}(M_{H_f}(\mathbf{e}))/\mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Alb}(M_{H_f}(\mathbf{e}))) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.3 and (5.7), it is sufficient to prove the claim for $\mathbf{e}'$. In this case, we note that $\pi_1(\mathrm{Hilb}^b_Y) \cong \pi_1(Y)$ ([16, sect. 1]), $H^1(\mathrm{Hilb}^b_Y, \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ and

$$H^2(\mathrm{Hilb}^b_Y, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \wedge^2 H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta,$$

where 2δ is the exceptional divisor of the Hilbert-Chow map. Then it is easy to see that the claim holds (cf. [21, sect. 3.2]). □

Remark 5.1. If $r' > r$ and $dr' - rd' = 1$, then $\Phi_{X\to Y}^{\mathbf{P}[1]}(E^\vee)$ is not torsion free for any $E \in \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss}$.

Assume that there is a multiple fiber mf_0. Let F be a semi-stable sheaf on mf_0 and set $\tau(F) = l_i(0, r_i f_0, d_i)$. Then $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(0, l_i r_i f_0, l_i d_i)^{ss} \leq [l_i m_0/m]$ by Remark 1.4, where $m_0 = \gcd(r_i, m)$. Assume that (p,q) satisfies $mp \leq r$. If $r_i d/m - r d_i = 1$ $(0 < r_i \leq r)$, then $(r_i, d_i) = (mp, q)$. If $r_i d/m - r d_i = 2$ $(0 < r_i \leq r)$ and $m \mid r_i$, then we also have $(r_i, d_i) = (2mp, 2q)$ by $0 < 2p, r_i/m \leq r$. If $r_i d/m - r d_i \geq 3$, then

$$l_i(r_i d/m - r d_i) - l_i \geq 2l_i \geq 2.$$

If $r_i d/m - r d_i = 2$ and $m_0 < m$, then we have

$$l_i(r_i d/m - r d_i) - l_i m_0/m \geq 3l_i/2 \geq 2.$$

Therefore there is a closed substack $\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss}$ such that $\Phi_{X\to Y}^{\mathbf{P}[1]}(E)$ is torsion free for $E \in \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ and $\dim \mathcal{Z} \leq \dim \mathcal{M}_{H_f}(\mathbf{e})^{ss} - 2$. Then we also see that Proposition 5.3 holds if $mp \leq r$. In particular, for an unnodal Enriques surface, Proposition 5.3 holds.

Let X be an unnodal Enriques surface. As we remarked in Remark 5.1, a relative Fourier-Mukai transform does not preserve stability for any member of $\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(v)^{ss}$ in general. However if v_0 is a primitive Mukai vector with even $\mathrm{rk}\, v_0$, all relative Fourier-Mukai transforms preserve stability for a general member of $\mathcal{M}_{H_f}(mv_0)^{ss}$ by Proposition 3.11.

In particular, if r is even and $(r, (c_1, e_1)) = 1$, then $M_{H_f}(r, c_1, \frac{s}{2})$ is birationally equivalent to $M_{H_f}(2, \zeta, \frac{s'}{2})$, where $\zeta \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and $(\zeta^2) - 2s' = (c_1^2) - 2r$.

Remark 5.2. If $r = 4$, then Nuer [14, sect. 6] constructed birational maps of the moduli spaces by using (-1)-reflections.

References

[1] Altman, A., Iarrobino, A., and Kleiman, S., *Irreducibility of the compactified Jacobian,* in real and complex singularities, Oslo 1976, Proc. 9th Nordic Summer School/NAVF, P. Holm (ed.), Sijthoff and Noordhoff (1977), 1–12.

[2] Bridgeland, T., *Fourier-Mukai transforms for elliptic surfaces,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **498** (1998), 115–133

[3] Cossec, F., Dolgachev, I., *Enriques surfaces I,* Birkhäuser 1989

[4] Gieseker, D., Li, J., *Moduli of high rank vector bundles over surfaces,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 107–151

[5] Hauzer, M., *On moduli spaces of semistable sheaves on Enriques surfaces,* arXiv:1003.5857

[6] Inaba, M., *On the moduli of stable sheaves on some nonreduced projective schemes,* J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004), 1–27.

[7] Kim, H., *Moduli spaces of stable vector bundles on Enriques surfaces,* Nagoya Math. J. **150** (1998), 85–94.

[8] Kim, H., *Stable vector bundles of rank 2 on Enriques surfaces,* J. Korean Math. Soc. **43** (2006), 765–782.

[9] Kurihara, K., Yoshioka, K., *Holomorphic vector bundles on non-algebraic tori of dimension 2,* Manuscripta Math. **126** (2008), 143–166

[10] Li, J., *The first two Betti numbers of the moduli spaces of vector bundles on surfaces,* Comm. Anal. Geom. **5** (1997), no. 4, 625–684.

[11] Maruyama, M., *Openness of a family of torsion free sheaves,* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **16** (1976), 627–637.

[12] Maruyama, M., *On boundedness of family of torsion free sheaves,* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **21** (1981), 673–701.

[13] Matsuki, K., Wentworth R., *Mumford-Thaddeus principle on the moduli space of vector bundles on an algebraic surface,* Internat. J. Math. **8** (1997), no. 1, 97–148.

[14] Nuer, H., *A note on the existence of stable vector bundles onEnriques surfaces,* arXiv:1406.3328 v2. Selecta Math. to appear.

[15] O'Grady, K., *Moduli of vector bundles on projective surfaces: some basic results,* Invent. Math. **123** (1996), pp 141-207.

[16] Oguiso, K., Schröer, S., *Enriques manifolds,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **661** (2011), 215–235.

[17] Sacca, G., *Relative compactified Jacobians of linear systems on Enriques surfaces,* arXiv:1210.7519 v2.

[18] Yamada, K., *Singularities and Kodaira dimension of moduli scheme of stable sheaves on Enriques surfaces,* Kyoto J. Math. **53** (2013), no. 1, 145–153.

[19] Yoshioka, K., *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 on P^2,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **453** (1994), 193–220

[20] Yoshioka, K., *Chamber structure of polarizations and the moduli of stable sheaves on a ruled surface*, Internat. J. Math. **7** (1996), 411–431.

[21] Yoshioka, K., *A note on the universal family of moduli of stable sheaves,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **496** (1998), 149–161.

[22] Yoshioka, K., *Some examples of Mukai's reflections on K3 surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **515** (1999), 97–123

[23] Yoshioka, K., *Irreducibility of moduli spaces of vector bundles on K3 surfaces,* arXiv:math/9907001.

[24] Yoshioka, K., *Twisted stability and Fourier-Mukai transform I*, Compositio Math. **138** (2003), 261–288.

[25] Yoshioka, K., *Twisted stability and Fourier-Mukai transform II,* Manuscripta Math. **110** (2003), 433–465

[26] Yoshioka, K., *Stability and the Fourier-Mukai transform II,* Compositio Math. **145** (2009), 112–142

[27] Yoshioka, K., *Perverse coherent sheaves and Fourier-Mukai transforms on surfaces II*, Kyoto J. Math. **55** (2015), 365–459.

[28] Yoshioka, K., *A note on stable sheaves on Enriques surfaces,* Tohoku Math. J. to appear

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, 657, Japan
E-mail address: yoshioka@math.kobe-u.ac.jp